

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT
WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION -

REGULATION NO. 34

CLASSIFICATIONS AND NUMERIC STANDARDS
FOR
SAN JUAN RIVER AND DOLORES RIVER BASINS

EMERGENCY

ADOPTED:	July 13, 1982
EFFECTIVE:	August 30, 1982
AMENDED:	December 6, 1982
EFFECTIVE:	January 30, 1983
AMENDED:	December 12, 1983
EFFECTIVE:	January 30, 1984
AMENDED:	December 6, 1985
EFFECTIVE:	January 30, 1986
AMENDED:	April 7, 1986
EFFECTIVE:	May 30, 1986
AMENDED:	November 7, 1989
EFFECTIVE:	December 31, 1989
AMENDMENT:	February 5, 1990
AMENDED:	June 5, 1990
EFFECTIVE:	July 31, 1990
AMENDED:	January 6, 1992
EFFECTIVE:	March 1, 1992
AMENDED:	March 1, 1993
EFFECTIVE:	April 30, 1993
AMENDED:	September 7, 1993
EFFECTIVE:	October 30, 1993
AMENDED:	February 13, 1995
EFFECTIVE:	March 30, 1995
AMENDED:	April 10, 1995
EFFECTIVE:	May 30, 1995
AMENDED:	July 10, 1995
EFFECTIVE:	August 30, 1995
TRIENNIAL REVIEW:	December 10, 1996
AMENDED:	July 14, 1997
EFFECTIVE:	August 30, 1997
AMENDED:	December 8, 1997
EFFECTIVE:	January 30, 1998
AMENDED:	November 9, 1998
EFFECTIVE:	December 30, 1998

STATE OF COLORADO

Roy Romer, Governor
Patti Shwayder, Executive Director

WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION

<http://www.cdphe.state.co.us>

4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, Colorado 80246-1530
Phone (303) 692-3469



Colorado Department
of Public Health
and Environment

NOTICE OF FINAL ADOPTION

PURSUANT to the provisions of sections 24-4-103(5) and 24-4-103(11), C.R.S.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission, after a public rulemaking hearing complying with the provisions of 24-4-103 and 25-8-401(1), C.R.S., amended on November 9, 1998, pursuant to 25-8-202(1)(a), (b) (2); 25-8-203; 25-8-204; and 25-8-402, C.R.S., and Section 21.3 of the "Procedural Rules" the regulation entitled:

"Classifications and Numeric Standards for San Juan and Dolores River Basin" Regulation
#34 (5 CCR 1002-34)

Providing for extension of temporary modifications and water quality standards effective dates.

Also, pursuant to 24-4-103(8)(b), C.R.S., this amendment was submitted to the Attorney General for review and was found to be within the authority of the Water Quality Control Commission, and further that there are no apparent constitutional deficiencies in its form or substance. Furthermore, in adopting these amendments the Commission also adopted a general Statement of Basis, Specific Statutory Authority, and Purpose in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S..

This action will be submitted to the Office of Legislative Legal Services within twenty (20) days after the date of the Attorney General's Opinion, pursuant to 24-4-103(8)(d), C.R.S., and to the Secretary of State in time for December, 1998 publication in the Colorado Register pursuant to 24-4-103(5) and (11)(d), C.R.S., and will become effective December 30, 1998.

A copy of the amendment is attached and made a part of this notice.*

Dated this 18th day of November, 1998, at Denver, Colorado.

WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION

Diana Glaser, Program Assistant

*A copy of this regulation
is available at a charge of \$5.00
pursuant to 24-4-103(9), C.R.S.

REGULATION NO. 34

CLASSIFICATIONS AND NUMERIC STANDARDS SAN JUAN RIVER AND DOLORES RIVER BASINS

34.1 AUTHORITY

These regulations are promulgated pursuant to section 25-8-101 et seq. C.R.S., as amended, and in particular, 25-8-203 and 25-8-204.

34.2 PURPOSE

These regulations establish classifications and numeric standards for the San Juan and the Dolores River Basins, including all tributaries and standing bodies of water south of the northern Dolores County lines, as indicated in section 34.6. The classifications identify the actual beneficial uses of the water. The numeric standards are assigned to determine the allowable concentrations of various parameters. Discharge permits will be issued by the Water Quality Control Division to comply with basic, narrative, and numeric standards and control regulations so that all discharges to waters of the state protect the classified uses. (See Regulation No. 31 section 31.14). It is intended that these and all other stream classifications and numeric standards be used in conjunction with and be an integral part of Regulation No. 31 Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water.

34.3 INTRODUCTION

These regulations and tables present the classifications and numeric standards assigned to stream segments listed in the attached tables (See section 34.7). As additional stream segments are classified and numeric standards for designated parameters are assigned for this drainage system, they will be added to or replace the numeric standards in the tables in section 34.7. Any additions or revisions of classifications or numeric standards can be accomplished only after public hearing by the Commission and proper consideration of evidence and testimony as specified by the statute and the "basic regulations".

34.4 DEFINITIONS

See the Colorado Water Quality Control Act and the codified water quality regulations for definitions.

34.5 BASIC STANDARDS

(1) All waters of the San Juan/Dolores River Basin are subject to the following standard for temperature. (Discharges regulated by permits, which are within the permit limitations, shall not be subject to enforcement proceedings under this standard). Temperature shall maintain a normal pattern of diurnal and seasonal fluctuations with no abrupt changes and shall have no increase in temperature of a magnitude, rate, and duration deemed deleterious to the resident aquatic life. Generally, a maximum 3°C increase over a minimum of a four-hour period, lasting 13 hours maximum, is deemed acceptable for discharges fluctuating in volume or temperature. Where temperature increases cannot be maintained within this range using Best Management Practices (BMP), Best Available Technology Economically Achievable

(BATEA), and Best Practical Waste Treatment Technology (BPWTT) control measures, the Commission may determine by a rulemaking hearing in accordance with the requirements of the applicable statutes and the basic regulations, whether or not a change in classification is warranted.

(2) See Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water, Regulation No. 31, section 31.11 for a listing of organic standards. The column in the tables headed "Water Fish" are presumptively applied to all aquatic life class 1 streams and are applied to aquatic life class 2 streams on a case-by-case basis as shown in the tables in section 34.6.

(3) URANIUM

- (a) All waters of the San Juan/Dolores River Basin, are subject to the following basic standard for uranium, unless otherwise specified by a water quality standard applicable to a particular segment. However, discharges of uranium regulated by permits which are within these permit limitations shall not be a basis for enforcement proceedings under this basic standard.
- (b) Uranium level in surface waters shall be maintained at the lowest practicable level.
- (c) In no case shall uranium levels in waters assigned a water supply classification be increased by any cause attributable to municipal, industrial, or agricultural discharges so as to exceed 40 pCi/l or naturally-occurring concentrations (as determined by the State of Colorado), whichever is greater.
- (d) In no case shall uranium levels in waters assigned a water supply classification be increased by a cause attributable to municipal, industrial, or agricultural discharges so as to exceed 40 pCi/l where naturally-occurring concentrations are less than 40 pCi/l.

34.6

TABLES

(1) Introduction

The numeric standards for various parameters in the attached tables were assigned by the Commission after a careful analysis of the data presented on actual stream conditions and on actual and potential water uses.

Numeric standards are not assigned for all parameters listed in the tables attached to Regulation No. 31. If additional numeric standards are found to be needed during future periodic reviews, they can be assigned by following the proper hearing procedures.

(2) Abbreviations:

The following abbreviations are used in the attached tables:

ac	=	acute (1-day)
Ag	=	silver
Al	=	aluminum

As	=	arsenic
B	=	boron
Ba	=	barium
Be	=	beryllium
Cd	=	cadmium
ch	=	chronic (30-day)
Cl	=	chloride
Cl ₂	=	residual chlorine
CN	=	free cyanide
CrIII	=	trivalent chromium
CrVI	=	hexavalent chromium
Cu	=	copper
dis	=	dissolved
D.O.	=	dissolved oxygen
F	=	fluoride
F.Coli	=	fecal coliforms
Fe	=	iron
Hg	=	mercury
mg/l	=	milligrams per liter
ml	=	milliliters
Mn	=	manganese
NH ₃	=	un-ionized ammonia as N(nitrogen)
Ni	=	nickel
NO ₂	=	nitrite as N (nitrogen)
NO ₃	=	nitrate as N (nitrogen)
OW	=	outstanding waters
P	=	phosphorus
Pb	=	lead
S	=	sulfide as undissociated H ₂ S (hydrogen sulfide)
Sb	=	antimony
Se	=	selenium

SO ₄	=	sulfate
sp	=	spawning
Tl	=	thallium
tr	=	trout
Trec	=	total recoverable
TVS	=	table value standard
U	=	uranium
ug/l	=	micrograms per liter
UP	=	use-protected
Zn	=	zinc

(3) Table Value Standards

In certain instances in the attached tables, the designation "TVS" is used to indicate that for a particular parameter a "table value standard" has been adopted. This designation refers to numerical criteria set forth in the Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water. The criteria for which the TVS are applicable are on the following table.

TABLE VALUE STANDARDS
(Concentrations in ug/l unless noted)

PARAMETER ⁽¹⁾	TABLE VALUE STANDARDS ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾
Ammonia	Cold Water Acute = $0.43/FT/FP/2^{(4)}$ in mg/l Warm Water Acute = $0.62/FT/FP/2^{(4)}$ in mg/l
Cadmium	Acute = $e^{(1.128(\ln(\text{hardness}))-2.905)}$ "(Trout) = $e^{(1.128(\ln(\text{hardness}))-3.828)}$ Chronic = $e^{(0.7852(\ln(\text{hardness}))-3.480)}$
Chromium III	Acute = $e^{(0.819(\ln(\text{hardness}))-3.688)}$ Chronic = $e^{(0.819(\ln(\text{hardness}))-1.561)}$
Chromium VI	Acute = 16 Chronic = 11

TABLE VALUE STANDARDS
(Concentrations in ug/l unless noted)

PARAMETER ⁽¹⁾	TABLE VALUE STANDARDS ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ -
Copper	$Acute = e^{(0.9422[\ln(hardness)] - 1.4634)}$ $Chronic = e^{(0.8545[\ln(hardness)] - 1.465)}$
Lead	$Acute = e^{(1.6148[\ln(hardness)] - 2.8736)}$ $Chronic = e^{(1.417[\ln(hardness)] - 5.167)}$
Nickel	$Acute = e^{(0.76[\ln(hardness)] + 3.33)}$ $Chronic = e^{(0.76[\ln(hardness)] + 1.06)}$
Selenium	$Acute = 135$ $Chronic = 17$
Silver	$Acute = e^{(1.72[\ln(hardness)] - 7.21)}$ $Chronic = e^{(1.72[\ln(hardness)] - 9.06)}$ $"(Trout) = e^{(1.72[\ln(hardness)] - 10.51)}$
Uranium	$Acute = e^{(1.102[\ln(hardness)] + 2.7086)}$ $Chronic = e^{(1.102[\ln(hardness)] + 2.2382)}$
Zinc	$Acute = e^{(0.8473[\ln(hardness)] + 0.8604)}$ $Chronic = e^{(0.8473[\ln(hardness)] + 0.7614)}$

TABLE VALUE STANDARDS - FOOTNOTES

- (1) Metals are stated as dissolved unless otherwise specified.
- (2) Hardness values to be used in equations are in mg/l as calcium carbonate. The hardness values used in calculating the appropriate metal standard should be based on the lower 95 per cent confidence limit of the mean hardness value at the periodic low flow criteria as determined from a regression analysis of site-specific data. Where insufficient site-specific data exists to define the mean hardness value at the

method should be used. In calculating a hardness value, regression analyses should not be extrapolated past the point that data exist.

- (3) Both acute and chronic numbers adopted as stream standards are levels not to be exceeded more than once every three years on the average.

- (4) $FT = 10^{0.3(20-TCAP)}$;
TCAP less than or equal to T less than or equal to 30

$FT = 10^{0.3(20-T)}$;
0 less or equal to T less than or equal to TCAP

TCAP = 20° C cold water aquatic life species present

TCAP = 25° C cold water aquatic life species absent

FPH = 1; 8 less than pH less than or equal to 9

$FPH = \frac{1 + 10^{(7.4-pH)}}{1.25}$; 6.5 less than or equal to pH less than
or equal to 8

FPH means the acute pH adjustment factor, defined by the above formulas.

FT Means the acute temperature adjustment factor, defined by the above formulas.

T means temperature measured in degrees celsius.

TCAP means temperature CAP; the maximum temperature which affects the toxicity of ammonia to salmonid and non-salmonid fish groups.

NOTE: If the calculated acute value is less than the calculated chronic value, then the calculated chronic value shall be used as the acute standard.

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION: 9		Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS						TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN SAN JUAN RIVER				PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC		METALS			
Stream Segment Description					mg/l		ug/l			
1	Mainstem of the Navajo River and the Little Navajo River, including all wetlands, tributaries, lakes and reservoirs, from the boundary of the South San Juan Wilderness Area to the Colorado/New Mexico border		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 8.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
2	Mainstem of the Navajo River from the Colorado/New Mexico border to the confluence with the San Juan River (Southern Ute Indian Reservation).		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 8.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.015	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=50 Cd(ch)=4 CrIII(ch)=50 CrVI(ch)=25 Cu(ch)=14	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1200 Pb(ch)=5 Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000	Hg(ch)= 05 Ni(ch)=50 Se(ch)=10 Ag(ch)= 1 Zn(ch)=50	All metals are Trec unless otherwise noted
3	Mainstem of the Little Navajo River from the San Juan-Champa diversion to the confluence with the Navajo River, all tributaries to the Navajo River and the Little Navajo River, including all wetlands, lakes and Reservoirs, from the San Juan-Champe diversions to the confluence with the San Juan River.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 8.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						
4	All tributaries to the San Juan River, Rio Blanco, and Navajo River including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, which are within the Weminuche Wilderness area and South San Juan Wilderness Area.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 8.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
5	Mainstem of the San Juan River and the East Fork and West Fork of the San Juan River, from the boundary of the Weminuche Wilderness Area (West Fork) and the source (East Fork) to the confluence with Fourmile Creek, including all wetlands, tributaries, lakes and reservoirs except for wetlands, tributaries, lakes, and reservoirs included in Segment 4.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 8.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
6a	Mainstem of the San Juan River from the confluence with Fourmile Creek to Navajo Reservoir except for specific listing in segment 6b. Mainstem of Mill Creek from the source to the confluence with the San Juan River. Echo Canyon Reservoir.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 8.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
6b	Mainstem of San Juan River within the Southern Ute Indian Reservation.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 8.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =100 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=50 Cd(ch)=4 CrIII(ch)=100 CrVI(ch)=25 Cu(ch)=20	Fe(ch)=2400 Pb(ch)=10 Mn(ch)=1000 Hg(ch)=50 Ni(ch)=50	Se(ch)=20 Ag(ch)= 1 Zn(ch)=50	All metals are Trec unless otherwise noted
7	Navajo Reservoir (portion in Southern Ute Indian Reservation)		Aq Life Warm 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 8.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.5 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=50 Cd(ch)=4 CrIII(ch)=50 CrVI(ac/ch)=25 Cu(ch)=5	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000 Pb(ch)=4 Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000	Hg(ch)= 05 Ni(ch)=50 Se(ch)=10 Ag(ch)= 1 Zn(ch)=50	All metals are Trec unless otherwise noted

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION 9 BASIN SAN JUAN RIVER	Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS						TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
			PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC		METALS			
Stream Segment Description				mg/l		ug/l			
9a. Mainstem of the Rio Blanco, including all tributaries, wetlands, lakes, and reservoirs, from the boundary of South San Juan Wilderness Area to the Southern Ute Indian Reservation boundary		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
9b. Mainstem of the Rio Blanco, including all tributaries, wetlands, lakes, and reservoirs, within the boundaries of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
10. Mainstem of the Rito Blanco River from Echo Ditch to the confluence with the Rio Blanco River	UP	Aq Life Cold 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						
11. All tributaries to the San Juan River in Archuleta County, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, except for specific listings in Segments 1, 4, 5, 6a, 9a, 9b and 12	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						
12. All tributaries to San Juan River in Archuleta County within the Southern Ute Indian Reservation.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION. 9	Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS						TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN. PIEDRA RIVER			PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC		METALS			
Stream Segment Description				mg/l		ug/l			
1. All tributaries to the Piedra River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, which are within the Weminuche Wilderness Area.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
2. Mainstem of the Piedra River, including the East and Middle Forks, from the boundary of the Weminuche Wilderness Area to the confluence with Indian Creek, except for the specific listing in Segment 3.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
3. Mainstem of the East Fork of the Piedra River from the Piedra Falls Ditch to the confluence with Pagosa Creek.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
4a. Mainstem of the Piedra River from the confluence with Indian Creek to Southern Ute Indian Reservation.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
4b. Mainstem of the Piedra River within the Southern Ute Indian Reservation to Navajo Reservoir.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =100	As(ch)=50 Cd(ch)=4 CrIII(ch)=100 CrVI(ch)=25 Cu(ch)=16	Fe(ch)=1500 Pb(ch)=4 Mn(ch)=1000 Hg(ch)=.05	Ni(ch)=50 Se(ch)=20 Ag(ch)=.1 Zn(ch)=50	All metals are Trec unless otherwise noted
5. All tributaries to the Piedra River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from the boundary of the Weminuche Wilderness Area to a point immediately below the confluence with Devil Creek.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis)	Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
6a. All tributaries to the Piedra River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from a point immediately below the confluence with Devil Creek to Southern Ute Indian Reservation, except for the specific listings in Segment 7.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						
6b. All tributaries and wetlands to the Piedra River within the Southern Ute Indian Reservation to Navajo Reservoir.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						
7. "Hatcher Lake, Stevens Lake, Pagosa Lake, Village Lake and Forest Lake."	UP	Aq Life Warm 1 Recreation 2 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.06 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.25 NO ₃ =0.5 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac/ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis)	Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION 9	Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS						TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN: LOS PINOS RIVER			PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC	METALS				
Stream Segment Description				mg/l	ug/l				
1. All tributaries to the Los Pinos River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, which are within the Weminuche Wilderness Area.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
2a. Mainstem of the Los Pinos River from the boundary of the Weminuche Wilderness Area to the boundary of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation except for the specific listing in Segment 3.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
2b. Mainstem of the Los Pinos River from the boundary of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation to the Colorado/New Mexico border.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000(Trec)	Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
3. Valticito Reservoir.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
4a. All tributaries to the Los Pinos River and Valticito Reservoir, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from the boundary of the Weminuche Wilderness Area to a point immediately below the confluence with Bear Creek (T35N, R7W), except for the specific listing in Segment 5, mainstems of Beaver Creek, Ute Creek, and Spring Creek from their sources to the boundary of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000(Trec) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
4b. Mainstems of Beaver Creek, Ute Creek and Spring Creek from the boundaries of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation to their confluences with the Los Pinos River		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₂ =0.05 NO ₃ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000(Trec) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
5. Mainstem of Valticito Creek from the boundary of the Weminuche Wilderness Area to Valticito Reservoir.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=1 CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
6a. All tributaries to the Los Pinos River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from a point immediately below the confluence with Bear Creek (T35N, R7W) to the boundary of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation except for specific listings in Segment 4a.	UP	Aq Life Cold 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						
6b. All tributaries to the Los Pinos River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, within the Southern Ute Indian Reservation except for the specific listing in Segment 4b; all tributaries to the San Juan River in La Plata County.	UP	Aq Life Cold 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION 9		Design	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS						TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN ANIMAS AND FLORIDA RIVER				PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC		METALS			
Stream Segment Description					mg/l		ug/l			
1.	All tributaries to the Animas River and Florida River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, which are within the Weminuche Wilderness Area		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
2.	Mainstem of the Animas River, including all tributaries and wetlands, from the outlet of Denver Lake to a point immediately above the confluence with Maggie Gulch, except for specific listings in Segment 1	UP	Recreation 2 Agriculture	pH = 5.8-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml			Effective until June 30, 2001: Existing ambient quality for all metals as of February 14, 1995 Effective as of June 30, 2001: The concentration of dissolved aluminum, cadmium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, and zinc that is directed toward maintaining and achieving water quality standards established for segments 3a, 4a, 4b, and 9b.			Temp mod effective for 3 years beginning 6/30/01. existing ambient quality for all metals
3a.	Mainstem of the Animas River, including wetlands, from a point immediately below the confluence with Maggie Gulch to immediately above the confluence with Cement Creek		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75	Al(ac/ch)=TVS As(ac)=100(Trec) Cd(ac/ch)=1.7 CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe=132(dis) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000 Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=540	
3b.	Mainstem of the Animas River, including wetlands, from a point immediately above the confluence with Cement Creek to a point immediately above the confluence with Mineral Creek	UP	Recreation 2	pH = 6.0-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml			Effective until June 30, 2001: Existing ambient quality for all metals as of February 14, 1995 Effective as of June 30, 2001: The concentration of dissolved aluminum, cadmium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, and zinc that is directed toward maintaining and achieving water quality standards established for segments 3a, 4a, 4b, and 9b.			Temp mod effective for 3 years beginning 6/30/01. Zn(ch)=657
4a.	Mainstem of the Animas River, including wetlands, from a point immediately above the confluence with Mineral Creek to the confluence with Elk Creek	Eff until 3/2/01: UP	Recreation 1 Eff until 6/30/01: Aq Life Cold 2 Eff as of 6/30/01: Aq Life Cold 1*	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75	Al(ac/ch)=TVS As(ac)=100(Trec) Cd(ac/ch)=1.6 CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS	Cu(ac/ch)=TVS Fe(ch)=390(dis) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000	Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ch)=TVS Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac)=TVS	Temp mod effective for 3 years beginning 6/30/01. Zn(ch)=520 *Goal
4b.	Mainstem of the Animas River, including wetlands, from the confluence with Elk Creek to the confluence with Junction Creek.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=50 Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS	Cu(ac/ch)=TVS Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1509(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=210	Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10 Ag(ac)=TVS	Temp mod effective for 3 years beginning 6/30/01. Zn(ch)=182
5a.	Mainstem of the Animas River, including wetlands, to the Southern Ute Indian Reservation boundary.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=50 Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ch)=TVS	Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	Temp mod effective for 3 years beginning 6/30/01. Zn(ch)=182

**SEE STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION 9		Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS					TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS	
BASIN: ANIMAS AND FLORIDA RIVER				PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC	METALS				
Stream Segment Description					mg/l	ug/l				
5b.	Mainstem of the Animas River, including wetlands, from the Southern Ute Indian Reservation boundary to the Colorado/New Mexico border.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=50 Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ch)=TVS	Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
6.	Mainstem, including all tributaries, wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, of Cinnamon Creek, Grouse Creek, Picasne Gulch, Minnie Gulch, Maggie Gulch, Cunningham Creek, Boulder Creek, Whitehead Gulch, and Molas Creek from their sources to their confluences with the Animas River. Mainstem of the Animas from the source to the outlet of Denver Lake.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
7	Mainstem of Cement Creek, including all tributaries, wetlands, lakes, and reservoirs, from the source to the confluence with the Animas River.	UP	Recreation 2 Agriculture	pH = 3.7-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml			Effective until June 30, 2001: Existing ambient quality for all metals as of February 14, 1995 Effective as of June 30, 2001: The concentration of dissolved aluminum, cadmium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, and zinc that is directed toward maintaining and achieving water quality standards established for segments 3a, 4a, 4b, and 9b.			Temp mod effective for 3 years beginning 6/30/01 existing ambient quality for all metals
8.	Mainstem of Mineral Creek, including all tributaries and wetlands, from the source to a point immediately above the confluence with South Mineral Creek except for the specific listing in Segment 9a.	UP	Recreation 2 Agriculture	pH = 4.5 - 9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml			Effective until June 30, 2001: Existing ambient quality for all metals as of February 14, 1995 Effective as of June 30, 2001: The concentration of dissolved aluminum, cadmium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, and zinc that is directed toward maintaining and achieving water quality standards established for segments 3a, 4a, 4b, and 9b.			Temp mod effective for 3 years beginning 6/30/01 existing ambient quality for all metals
9a	Mainstem of South Mineral Creek including all tributaries, wetlands, lakes and reservoirs from the source to a point immediately above the confluence with Mineral Creek; mainstems, including all tributaries, wetlands, lakes and reservoirs of Mill Creek and Bear Creek from sources to confluence with Mineral Creek; all lakes and reservoirs in the drainage areas described in Segments 7 through 9		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
9b	Mainstem of Mineral Creek, including wetlands, from immediately above the confluence with the South Fork to the confluence with the Animas River.	UP	Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l F.Coli=200/100ml Eff until 6/30/01: pH = 6.2 - 9.0 Eff as of 6/30/01: pH = 6.5 - 9.0	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05	Al(ac/ch)=TVS As(ch)=100(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ac)=TVS Effective until June 30, 2001: Cd(ch)=1.7 Zn(ch)=544 Effective as of June 30, 2001: Cd(ch)=TVS Zn(ch)=TVS	CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac)=TVS Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000 Cu(ch)=57 Fe(ch)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr)	Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac)=TVS Fe(ch)=5515(Trec) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec)	Temp mod effective for 3 years beginning 6/30/01: pH=6.2-9.0 Cu=57 Fe=3415(dis) Zn=544

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION 9		Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS						TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN ANIMAS AND FLORIDA RIVER				PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC		METALS			
Stream Segment Description						mg/l		ug/l		
10.	Mainstem of the Florida River from the boundary of the Weminuche Wilderness Area to the Florida Farmers Canal Headgate, except for the specific listings in Segment 12b.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 8.0 mg/l D.O. = 7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/cu)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000(Trec) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
11.	Mainstem of the Florida River from the Florida Farmers Canal Headgate to the confluence with the Animas River		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000(Trec) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
12a.	All tributaries to the Animas River, including all lakes and reservoirs from a point immediately above the confluence with Elk Cr. to a point immediately below the confluence with Hermosa Cr. except for specific listings in Segment 15. All tributaries to the Florida River including all lakes and reservoirs from the source to the outlet of Lemon Reservoir except the specific listing in Segment 1. Mainstems of Red and Shearer Creeks from their sources to their confluences with the Florida River.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000(Trec) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
12b.	Lemon Reservoir.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10.02 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000(Trec) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
13a.	Mainstem of Junction Creek, and including all tributaries, from U.S. Forest Boundary to confluence with Animas River.	UP	Aq Life Cold 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. =6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05	As(ch)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000(Trec) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ac/ch)=TVS	Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
13b.	All tributaries to the Animas River, including all lakes and reservoirs, from a point immediately below the confluence with Hermosa Creek to the Southern Ute Indian Reservation boundary except for the specific listings in Segments 10, 11, 12a, 12b, 13a and 14; all tributaries to the Florida River, including all lakes and reservoirs, from the outlet of Lemon Reservoir to the confluence with the Animas River, except for specific listings in Segment 12a.	UP	Aq Life Cold 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION 9		Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS							TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN: ANIMAS AND FLORIDA RIVER				PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC		METALS				
Stream Segment Description											
					mg/l		ug/l				
13c.	All tributaries to the Animas River, including all lakes and reservoirs, from the Southern Ute Indian Reservation boundary to the Colorado/New Mexico border, except for the specific listings in Segments 10, 11, 12a, 12b, 13a and 14; all tributaries to the Florida River, including all lakes and reservoirs, from the outlet of Lemon Reservoir to the confluence with the Animas River, except for specific listings in Segment 12a.	UP	Aq Life Cold 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O.(sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml							
14.	Mainstem of Lightner Creek from the source to the confluence with the Animas River.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₃ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000(Trec) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=1VS		
15.	Mainstem of Purgatory Creek from source to Cascade, Cascade Creek, Soulding Creek from the source to Elbert Creek, and Nary Draw from the source to Navland Lake.	UP	Aq Life Cold 2 Recreation 2 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O.=6.0 mg/l D.O.(sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml	CN=0.2 S=0.05 NO ₃ =1.0	NO ₃ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=50 Cd(ch)=10 CrIII(ch)=50 CrVI(ch)=50	Cu(ch)=1000 Fe(ch)=0.3(dis) Pb(ch)=50 Mn(ch)=50	Hg(ch)=2(tol) Se(ch)=10 Ag(ch)=50 Zn(ch)=5000	All metals are Trec unless otherwise noted	

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION 9	Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS						TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN: LA PLATA RIVER, MANCOS RIVER, McELMO CREEK, AND SAN JUAN RIVER IN MONTEZUMA COUNTY AND DOLORES COUNTY			PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC		METALS			
Stream Segment Description				mg/l		ug/l			
1. Mainstem of the La Plata River, including all wetlands, tributaries, lakes, and reservoirs, from the source to the Hay Gulch diversion south of Hesperus		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
2a. Mainstem of the La Plata River from the Hay Gulch diversion south of Hesperus to the boundary of Southern Ute Indian Reservation.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O.=5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.1 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05	As(ch)=100(Trec) Cd(ac/ch)=TVS CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS CrVI(ch)=TVS	Cu(ac/ch)=TVS Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
2b. Mainstem of the La Plata River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from the boundary of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation to the Colorado/New Mexico border.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O.=5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.1 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05	As(ch)=100(Trec) Cd(ch)=1 CrIII(ch)=100 CrVI(ch)=25 Cu(cu)=10	Fe(ch)=1000 Pb(ch)=43 Mn(ch)=1000 Hg(ch)=.05 Ni(ch)=100	Se(ch)=20 Ag(ch)=1 Zn(ch)=140	All metals are Trec unless otherwise noted
3a. All tributaries to the La Plata River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from the Hay Gulch diversions south of Hesperus to the Southern Ute Indian Reservation boundary.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						
3b. All tributaries to the La Plata River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from the boundary of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation boundary to the Colorado/New Mexico border.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						
4. Mainstem of the Mancos River, including all wetlands, tributaries, lakes, and reservoirs, from the source of the East, West and Middle Forks to Hwy 160		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
5a. Mainstem of the Mancos River from Hwy 160 to the boundary of the Ute Mountain Indian Reservation and mainstem of Weber Canyon from source to confluence with Mancos River.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.01 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05	As(ch)=100(Trec) Cd(ac/ch)=TVS CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000 Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
5b. Mainstem of the Mancos River from the boundary of the Ute Mountain Indian Reservation to the Colorado/New Mexico border.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.01 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05	As(ch)=100(Trec) Cd(ac/ch)=TVS CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=1800(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000 Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
6a. All tributaries to the Mancos River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from Hwy 160 to the boundary of the Ute Mountain Indian Reservation, except for specific listing in segment 5a	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O.=5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION 9	Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS						TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN: LA PLATA RIVER, MANCOS RIVER, McELMO CREEK, AND SAN JUAN RIVER IN MONTEZUMA COUNTY AND DOLORES COUNTY			PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC		METALS			
Stream Segment Description				mg/l		ug/l			
6b. All tributaries to the Mancos River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from the boundary of the Ute Mountain Indian Reservation to the Colorado/New Mexico border.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. =5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml						
7. Mainstem of McElmo Creek from the source to the Colorado/Utah border.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 1 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.1 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05	As(ch)=100(Trec) Cd(ac/ch)=TVS CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=10400 Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000 Hg(ch)=0.01(tot) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
8. All tributaries to McElmo Creek and the San Juan River in Montezuma and Dolores Counties, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, except for specific listings in Segments 2 through 7 and Segments 10 and 11.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH=6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100 ml						
9. Mainstem of the San Juan River in Montezuma County.		Aq Life Warm 1 Recreation 1 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH=6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.06 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.5	As(ch)=100(Trec) Cd(ac/ch)=TVS CrIII(ac/ch)=TVS CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS	Cu(ac/ch)=TVS Fe(ch)=2200(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000	Hg(ch)=0.01(tot) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
10. All tributaries and wetlands to McElmo Creek and the San Juan River within the Ute Mountain Indian Reservation.	UP	Aq Life Warm 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH=6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100 ml						
11. Navagunnep, Puett and Totten Reservoirs.		Aq Life Warm 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 5.0 mg/l pH=6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.06 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.5 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac/ch)=TVS CrIII(ch)=100(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tot)	N(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION 9		Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS						TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN DOLORES RIVER				PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC	METALS				
Stream Segment Description					mg/l	ug/l				
1.	All tributaries to the Dolores River and West Dolores River, including all wetlands, tributaries, lakes, and reservoirs, which are within the Lizard Head Wilderness.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F. Coll=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
2.	Mainstem of the Dolores River from the source to a point immediately above the confluence with Horse Creek.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F. Coll=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac/ch)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ch)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
3.	Mainstem of the Dolores River from a point immediately above the confluence with Horse Creek to a point immediately above the confluence with Bear Creek.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F. Coll=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=100(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ch)=100(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000 Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
4.	Mainstem of the Dolores River from a point immediately above the confluence with Bear Creek to the bridge at Bradfield Ranch (Forest Route 505) includes McPhee Reservoir and Summit Reservoir.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F. Coll=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
5.	All tributaries to the Dolores River and West Dolores River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from the source to a point immediately below the confluence with the West Dolores River except for specific listings in Segments 1 and 6 through 10, mainstem of Beaver Creek (including Plateau Creek) from the source to the confluence with the Dolores River.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F. Coll=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
6.	Mainstem of the State Creek and Coke Over Creek, from their sources to their confluences with the Dolores River		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F. Coll=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=50 Cd(ac/ch)=TVS CrIII(ch)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300 Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
7.	Mainstem of Coal Creek from the source to the confluence with the Dolores River.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F. Coll=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol) Ni(ac/ch)=TVS	Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS	
8.	Mainstem of Horse Creek from the source to the confluence with the Dolores River.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 2 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F. Coll=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=50 Cd(ch)=4 CrIII(ch)=50 CrVI(ch)=25 Cu(ch)=22	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000 Pb(ch)=4 Mn(ch)=50(dis) Mn(ch)=1000	Hg(ch)=05 Ni(ch)=50 Se(ch)=10 Ag(ch)=0.1 Zn(ch)=100	All metals are Trec unless otherwise noted
9.	Mainstem of Silver Creek from a point immediately below the Town of Rico's water supply diversion to the confluence with the Dolores River.	UP	Aq Life Cold 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F. Coll=200/100ml	NH ₃ (ac)=TVS NH ₃ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ch)=100(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS Cd(ch)=5.0 CrIII(ch)=100(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS	Cu(ac/ch)=TVS Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=1000 Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ac/ch)=TVS Ag(ac/ch)=TVS Zn(ch)=1100	

STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS and WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

REGION: 9		Desig	Classifications	NUMERIC STANDARDS							TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS AND QUALIFIERS
BASIN DOLORES RIVER				PHYSICAL and BIOLOGICAL	INORGANIC		METALS				
Stream Segment Description					mg/l		ug/l				
10.	Mainstem of the West Dolores River from the source to the confluence with the Dolores River.		Aq Life Cold 1 Recreation 1 Water Supply Agriculture	D.O. = 6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=200/100ml	NH ₄ (ac)=TVS NH ₄ (ch)=0.02 Cl ₂ (ac)=0.019 Cl ₂ (ch)=0.011 CN=0.005	S=0.002 B=0.75 NO ₃ =0.05 NO ₂ =10 Cl=250 SO ₄ =250	As(ac)=50(Trec) Cd(ac)=TVS(lr) Cd(ch)=TVS CrIII(ac)=50(Trec) CrVI(ac/ch)=TVS Cu(ac/ch)=TVS	Fe(ch)=300(dis) Fe(ch)=1000(Trec) Pb(ac/ch)=TVS Mn(ch)=50(dis) Hg(ch)=0.01(tol)	Ni(ac/ch)=TVS Se(ch)=10(Trec) Ag(ac)=TVS Ag(ch)=TVS(lr) Zn(ac/ch)=TVS		
11	All tributaries to the Dolores River, including all wetlands, lakes and reservoirs, from a point immediately below the confluence of the West Dolores River, to the bridge at Bradfield Ranch (Forest Route 505), except for the specific listing in Segments 4 and 5.	UP	Aq Life Cold 2 Recreation 2 Agriculture	D.O.=6.0 mg/l D.O. (sp)=7.0 mg/l pH = 6.5-9.0 F.Coli=2000/100ml							

34.15 STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

I. Introduction

These stream classifications and water quality standards for State Waters of the San Juan River Basin including all tributaries and standing bodies of water and the Dolores River Basin including all tributaries and standing bodies of water south of the northern Dolores County line in all or parts of Archuleta, Conejos, Dolores, Hinsdale, La Plata, Mineral, Montezuma, Rio Grande and San Juan Counties implement requirements of the Colorado Water Quality Control Act C.R.S. 1973, 25-8-101 et seq. (Cum. Supp. 1981). They also represent the implementation of the Commission's Regulations Establishing Basic Standards and an Antidegradation Standard and Establishing a System for Classifying State Waters, for Assigning Standards, and for Granting Temporary Modifications (the "Basic Regulations")

The Basic Regulations establish a system for the classification of State Waters according to the beneficial uses for which they are suitable or are to become suitable, and for assigning specific numerical water quality standards according to such classifications. Because these stream classifications and standards implement the Basic Regulations, the statement of basis and purpose (Section 3.1.16) of those regulations must be referred to for a complete understanding of the basis and purpose of the regulations adopted herein. Therefore, Section 3.1.16 of the Basic Regulations is incorporated by reference. The focus of this statement of basis and purpose is on the scientific and technological rationale for the specific classifications and standards in the San Juan River Basin.

Public participation was a significant factor in the development of these regulations. A lengthy record was built through public hearings held on May 14, 1981. A total of 10 entities requested and were granted party status by the Commission in accordance with C.R.S. 1973, 24-4-101 et seq. (Cum. Supp. 1980). A supplementary public rulemaking hearing was held September 15, 1981, restricted to those issues raised by the changes in the Act contained in Senate Bill 10 (1981). Such issues included but were not limited to: "The economic reasonableness" evaluation required by 25-8-102(5), the effect on water rights as required by 25-8-104; and the new considerations for the adoption of water quality standards required by 25-8-204 C.R.S. 1973, as amended. The record established in these hearings forms the basis for the classifications and standards adopted.

II. General Considerations

1. These regulations are not adopted as control regulations. Stream classifications and water quality standards are specifically distinguished from control regulations in the Water Quality Control Act, and they need not be adopted as control regulations pursuant to the statutory scheme.
2. The Commission has been requested in public hearings to rule on the applicability of these and other regulations to the operation of water diversion facilities, dams, transport systems, and the consequent withdrawal, impoundment, non-release and release of water for the exercise of water rights. The Commission has determined that any such broad ruling is inappropriate in the context of the present regulations. The request does not raise specific questions as to

proposed classifications and standards. However, the Commission has taken into account the fact that some issues are unresolved in adopting classifications and standards. On January 5, 1981, the Commission adopted a policy statement on quality/quantity issues that addresses a number of these concerns. Finally, the Commission has adopted these regulations in compliance with the requirements of the Water Quality Control Act that have bearing on these issues (See e.g.) sections 102, 104, and 503(5).

III. Definition of Stream Segments

1. For purposes of adopting classifications and water quality standards, the streams and water bodies are identified according to river basin and specific water segments.
2. Within each river basin, specific water segments are defined, for which use classifications and numeric water quality standards, if appropriate, are adopted. These segments may constitute a specific stretch of a river mainstem, a specific tributary, a specific lake or reservoir, or a generally defined grouping of waters within the basin (e.g., a specific mainstem segment and all tributaries flowing into that mainstem segment).
3. Segments are generally defined according to the points at which the use, water quality, or other stream characteristics change significantly enough to require a change in use classifications and/or water quality standards. In many cases, such transition points can be specifically identified from available data. In other cases the delineation of segments is based upon best judgements of the points where instream changes in uses, water quality, or other stream characteristics occur.

IV. Use Classifications -- Generally

1. Initially, recommendations for stream segmentation and use classifications are a result of input from 208 plans, water quality data and reports, the Division of Wildlife, and personal knowledge. After a basic outline of stream segments and use classifications was prepared, water quality data from a variety of sources was compared against the "table value" for the proposed use. "Table value" refers to the four tables attached to the "Basic Regulations". In general, if the mean plus one standard deviation ($\bar{x} + s$) of the available data for the segment indicated that a particular parameter did not exceed the "table value" for that recommended use, the "table value" was listed as the recommended standards for the parameter. If the $\bar{x} + s$ value was recommended as that standard for that parameter.

Conversely, if the ambient quality ($\bar{x} + s$) for a certain parameter exceeded the "table value" for the protection of a use, and there is information that the use is not in place, the use classification was modified or temporary modifications to the parameters were established. Ambient quality is generally defined as the quality attributable to natural conditions and/or uncontrollable non-point sources.

One exception to the procedure just described is for whole body contact recreation (class 1). If an active domestic waste discharge was located on the segment in question, class 1 recreation was not recommended regardless of the ambient quality, unless there was information to show that the segment was actually used for swimming. This policy was established by the WQCC in order to avoid penalizing a discharger for protecting a use which is not in place and to limit possible harm to aquatic life due to chlorine residuals.

2. The use classifications have been established in accordance with the provisions of Section 203 of the Water Quality Control Act and Section 3.1.6 and 3.1.13 of the Basic Regulations.
3. In all cases the basic regulation has been followed, in that an upstream use cannot threaten or degrade a downstream use. Accordingly, upstream segments of a stream are generally the same as, or higher in classification than, downstream segments. In a few cases, tributaries are classified at lower classifications than mainstems, where flow from tributaries does not threaten the quality of mainstem waters and where the evidence indicates that lower classification for the tributaries is appropriate.
4. There have been no "High Quality Class 1" designations assigned in this basin.
5. The Commission has determined that it has the authority to assign the classification "High Quality Waters - Class 1" and "High Quality Waters - Class 2" where the evidence indicates that the requirements of Sections 3.1.13(1)(e) of the basic regulations are met. The appropriateness of this classification has been determined on a case-by-case basis. Streams have in some cases been classified "High Quality - Class 2" for one or more of the following reasons:
 - (a) to facilitate the enjoyment and use of the scenic and natural resources of the State in accordance with the Legislative Declaration of the Colorado Water Quality Control Act (25-8-102(1) C.R.S. 1973.
 - (b) to provide a high degree of protection deserving of wilderness areas which are a resource providing a unique experience.
 - (c) they contain threatened species or apply to wild and scenic river study areas or wilderness areas.
 - (d) the concern of the USFS that High Quality 2 classification will unduly burden their management of multiple use areas is not well founded. This is because activities on Forest Service land, i.e. grazing, mineral exploration, trail and road maintenance, are considered as a historical impact upon existing ambient water quality conditions, and are non point sources which are presently not subject to any Water Quality Control Commission regulations.
 - (e) a question exists as to whether existing diversion structures can be maintained consistent with a "High Quality - Class 1" designation. Because of the questions regarding authority to regulate diversions, the Class 1 designation was deemed potentially too rigid. The Commission recognizes its authority to upgrade these segments if and when it is appropriate to do so.
6. In accordance with 25-8-104, C.R.S. 1973, the Commission intends that no provision of this regulation shall be interpreted so as to supercede, abrogate, or impair rights to divert water and apply water to beneficial uses.
7. Qualifiers – Seasonal and Intermittant

These qualifiers have been used to more fully describe characteristics of certain stream segments.

8. Recreation – Class 1 and Class 2

In addition to the significant distinction between Recreation - Class 1 and Recreation - Class 2 as defined in Section 3.1.13(1) of the Basic Regulations, the difference between the two classifications in terms of water quality standards is the fecal coliform parameter. Recreation - Class 1 generally has a standard of 200 fecal coliform per 100 ml; Recreation - Class 2 generally has a standard of 2000 fecal coliform per 100 ml.

In accordance with the Colorado Water Quality Control Act, the Commission has decided to classify as "Recreation - Class 2" those stream segments where primary contact recreation does not exist in the future, regardless of water quality. The Commission has decided to classify as "Recreation - Class 1" only those stream segments where primary contact recreation actually exists, or could reasonably be expected to occur. The reasons for the application of Recreation Class 2 are as follows:

- (a) The mountain streams in this region are generally unsuitable for primary contact recreation because of water temperature and stream flows.
- (b) Fecal coliform is an indicator organism. Its presence does not always indicate the presence of pathogens. This depends on the source of the fecal coliform. If the source is agricultural runoff as opposed to human sewage, there may be no health hazard and therefore no significant need to reduce the presence of fecal coliform to the 200 per 100 ml. level. Also, control of nonpoint sources is very difficult.
- (c) Treating sewage to meet the 200 per 100 ml. level generally means the treatment plant must heavily chlorinate its effluent to meet the limitation. The presence of chlorine in the effluent can be significantly detrimental to aquatic life. Post-treatment of effluent to meet the residual chlorine standard is expensive and often results in the addition of more chemicals which have a negative effect on water quality and can be detrimental to aquatic life. Therefore, reducing the need for chlorine is beneficial to aquatic life.
- (d) Even where a treatment plant in this region might treat its effluent to attain the standard of 200 per 100 ml., agricultural runoff and irrigation return flows below the plant may result in the rapid increase of fecal coliform levels. Therefore, the benefits of further treatment are questionable.
- (e) The fecal coliform of 2000 per 100 ml. has been established to provide general public health protection. There is no significant impact on domestic drinking water treatment plants because they provide complete disinfection. The standard of 200 per 100 ml. is not intended to protect the water supply classification.

9. Water Supply Classification

The Commission finds that Colorado is a water short state and that it is experiencing considerable growth which places additional burdens on already scarce water supplies. These considerations mitigate in favor of a conservation approach to protecting future water supplies.

Where existing water quality is adequate to protect this use, and in the absence of dischargers to these segments or testimony in opposition to such classification, the water supply use has been assigned because it is reasonable to expect that it may exist in the future in such cases. For stream segments that flow through, or in the vicinity of, municipalities, this conclusion is further justified, since there is a reasonable probability that the use exists or will exist. Where the water supply classification has been opposed, the Commission has evaluated the evidence on a site specific basis, and in many cases the classification has been removed.

V. Water Quality Standards – Generally

1. The water quality standards for classified stream segments are defined as numeric values for specific water quality parameters. These numeric standards are adopted as the limits for chemical constituents and other parameters necessary to protect adequately the classified uses in all stream segments.
2. Not all of the parameters listed in the "Tables" appended to the Basic Regulations are assigned as water quality standards. This complies with Section 3.1.7(c) of the Basic Regulations.

Numeric standards have been assigned for the full range of parameters to a number of segments where little or not data existed specific to the segment. In these cases, there was reason to believe that the classified uses were in place or could be reasonably expected, and that the ambient water quality was as good as or better than the numeric standards assigned.

3. A numeric standard for the temperature parameter has been adopted as a basic standard applicable to all waters of the region in the same manner as the basic standards in Section 3.1.11 of the Basic Regulations.

The standard of a 3° C temperature increase above ambient water temperature as defined is generally valid based on the data regarding that temperature necessary to support an "Aquatic Life - Class 1" fishery. The standard takes into account daily and seasonal fluctuations; however, it is also recognized that the 3° C limitation as defined is only appropriate as a guideline and cannot be rigidly applied if the intention is to protect aquatic life. In winter, for example, warm water discharges may be beneficial to aquatic life. It is the intention of the Commission in adopting the standard to prevent radical temperature changes in short periods of time which are detrimental to aquatic life.

4. Numeric standards for seventeen organic parameters have been adopted as basic standards applicable to all waters of the region in the same manner as the basic standards in Section 3.1.11 of the Basic Regulations. These standards are essential to a program designed to protect the waters of the State regardless of specific use classifications because they describe the fundamental conditions that all waters must meet to be suitable for any use.

It is the decision of the Commission to adopt these standards as basic standards because the presence of the organic parameters is not generally suspected. Also, the values assigned for these standards are not detectable using routine methodology and there is some concern regarding the potential for monitoring requirements if the standards are placed on specific streams. This concern should be alleviated by Section 3.1.14(5) of the Basic Regulations but there is uncertainty regarding the interpretation of those numbers by other entities. Regardless of these concerns, because these constituents are highly toxic, there is a need for regulating

their presence in State waters. Because the Commission has determined that they have uniform applicability here, their inclusion as basic standards for the region accomplishes this purpose.

5. In many cases, the numeric water quality standards are taken from the "Tables" appended to the Basic Regulations. These table values are used where actual ambient water quality data in a segment indicates that the existing quality is substantially equivalent to, or better than, the corresponding table values. This has been done because the table values are adequate to protect the classified uses.

Consistent with the Basic Regulations, the Commission has not assumed that the table values have presumptive validity or applicability. This accounts for the extensive data in the record on ambient water quality. However, the Commission has found that the table values are generally sufficient to protect the use classifications. Therefore, they have been applied in the situations outlined in the preceeding paragraph as well as in those cases where there is insufficient data in the record to justify the establishment of different standards. The documentary evidence forming the basis for the table values is included in the record.

6. In many cases, instream ambient water quality provides the basis for the water quality standards (See 7 below). In those cases where the classified uses presently exist or have a reasonable potential to exist despite the fact that instream data reflects ambient conditions of lower water quality than the table values, instream values have been used. In these cases, the evidence indicates that instream values are adequate to protect the uses. In those cases where temporary modifications are appropriate, instream values are generally reflected in the temporary modification and table values are reflected in the corresponding water quality standard. (Goals are established for the appropriate classification affected by the parameter).

Cases in which water quality standards reflect these instream values usually involve the metal parameters. On many stream segments elevated levels of metals are present due to natural or unknown causes, as well as mine seepage from inactive or abandoned mines. These sources are difficult to identify and impractical or impossible to control. The classified aquatic life uses may be impacted and/or may have adjusted to the conditions. In either case, the water quality standards are deemed sufficient to protect the uses that are present.

7. The Commission rejected the proposal to assign only "temporary" standards pending additional data collection to verify or modify values assigned. Concerned parties concurred that triennial review will lead to updating of standards as necessary. Furthermore, limited financial resources will be focused upon streams with permitted discharges.
8. In those cases where there was no data for a particular segment, or where the data consists of only a few samples for a limited range of parameters, "table values" were generally recommended. Data at the nearest downstream point was used to support this conclusion. In some cases, where the limited data indicated a problem existed, additional data was collected to expand the data base. Additionally, where there may not be existing data on present stream quality, the Commission anticipates that if necessary, additional data will be collected prior to a hearing required by C.R.S. 1973, 25-8-204(3), as amended.
9. In most cases in establishing standards based on instream ambient water quality, a calculation is made based upon the mean (average) plus one standard deviation ($\bar{x} + s$) for all sampling

points on a particular stream segment. Since a standard deviation is not added to the water quality standard for purposes of determining the compliance with the standard, this is a fair method as applied to discharges.

Levels that were determined to be below the detectable limits of the sampling methodology employed were averaged in as zero rather than at the detectable limit. This moves the mean down but since zero is also used when calculating wasteload allocations, this method is not unfair to dischargers.

Metals present in water samples may be tied up in suspended solids when the water is present in the stream. In this form they are "available" to fish and may not be detrimental to aquatic life. Because the data of record does not distinguish as to availability, some deviation from table values, as well as the use of $\bar{x} + s$, is further justified because it is unlikely that the total value in all samples analyzed is in available form.

A number of different statistical methodologies could have been used where ambient water quality data dictates the standards. All of them have both advantages and disadvantages. It is recognized that the $\bar{x} + s$ methodology also has weaknesses, in that the standard may not reflect natural conditions in a stream 100 per cent of the time, even though the use of $\bar{x} + s$ already allows for some seasonal variability. However the use of this methodology is nevertheless justified since it provides the most meaningful index of stream quality of all methodologies proposed for setting stream standards.

10. No water quality standards are set below detectable limits for any parameter, although certain parameters may not be detectable at the limit of the standards using routine methodology. However, it must be noted that stream monitoring, as opposed to effluent monitoring, is generally not the responsibility of the dischargers but of the State. Furthermore, the purpose of the standards is to protect the classified uses and some inconvenience and expense as to monitoring is therefore justifiable.

Section 3.1.15(5) of the Basic Regulations states that "dischargers will not be required to regularly monitor for any parameters that are not identified by the Division as being of concern". Generally, there is no requirement for monitoring unless a parameter is in the effluent guidelines for the relevant industry, or is deemed to be a problem as to a specific discharge.

11. The dissolved oxygen standard is intended to apply to the epilimnion and metalimnion strata of lakes and reservoirs. Respiration by aerobic micro-organisms as organic matter is consumed is the primary cause of a natural decrease in dissolved oxygen and anaerobic conditions in the hypolimnion. Therefore, this stratum is exempt from the dissolved oxygen standard.
12. Where numeric standards are established based on historic instream water quality data at the level of $\bar{x} + s$, it is recognized by the Commission that measured instream parameter levels might exceed the standard approximately 15 percent of the time.
13. It is the Commission's intention that the Division implement and enforce all water quality standards consistent with the manner in which they have been established.
14. Hardness/Alkalinity

Where hardness and alkalinity numbers differed, the Commission elected to use alkalinity as the controlling parameter, in order to be consistent with other river basins and because testimony from the Division staff indicated that in most cases alkalinity has a greater effect on toxic form of metals than does hardness.

VI. Water Quality Standards for Unionized Ammonia

On some Class 2 Warm Water Aquatic Life streams containing similar aquatic life communities to those found in the plains streams of the South Platte & Arkansas Basins, .1 mg/l ammonia was selected as being appropriate to protect such aquatic life.

These streams generally contain both lesser numbers and types of species than those inhabiting class 1 streams due to physical habitat characteristics, flow or irreversible water quality characteristics. The Commission felt that the incremental expense to meet a 0.06 mg/l unionized ammonia standard for present or potential dischargers along these streams cannot be justified. Low flow, in these segments is often intermittent or highly impacted by diversions.

Specifically, the Commission has relaxed unionized ammonia standards to .1 mg/l or greater on such streams for the following reasons:

1. limited nature of the aquatic life present;
2. limited recreational value of species present;
3. habitat limitations, primarily flow and streambed characteristics, that impose significant limitations on the nature of aquatic life, even if ammonia reductions were attained;
4. rapid dissipation of ammonia in streams, reducing the impact of such discharges downstream; and
5. economic costs of ammonia removal, especially where such costs would fall primarily on publicly-owned treatment works, and while the availability of construction grant funds is questionable.
6. Biosurveys with support from a bioassay conducted on fathead minnows performed in the Cache la Poudre River, show that a .1 mg/l standard is appropriate to protect existing biota in the stream. The results of these studies may be reasonably extrapolated to similar plains streams; i.e., those streams that demonstrate similar chemical, physical, and biological characteristics.

Not all warmwater streams are comparable in terms of flow habitat, and types and numbers of species of aquatic life. Therefore, some variations in an appropriate ammonia standard must be tolerated, with the objective of protecting existing aquatic life. The Commission found this approach preferable to totally removing the aquatic life classification from impacted or marginal aquatic life streams.

VII. Water Quality Standards for Uranium

Given the threat that radioactivity from uranium may pose to human health, it is advisable to limit uranium concentrations in streams to the maximum extent practicable. The Commission has adopted a standard of 40 pCi/l or natural background where higher, for the following reasons:

1. 40 pCi/l generally reflects background concentrations of uranium that may be found in streams in Colorado and therefore this amount approximates routine human exposure.
2. The statistical risk of human health hazards is small at 40 pCi/l.
3. 40 pCi/l is an interim level, established now pending the outcome of further studies currently underway.

VIII. Water Quality Standards for Cyanide

The Commission acknowledges that total cyanide is to be used in State Discharge permits until a method is authorized by EPA for measuring free cyanide, even though free cyanide is the parameter of concern. While cyanide has received special treatment in cases discussed in the segment - by - segment section which follows, a free cyanide standard based on Table Values has been established for most segments.

IX. Linkage of classifications and Standards

The Commission holds that the classifications which it adopts and the standards it assigns to them are linked. Disapproval by EPA of the standards may require reexamination by the Commission of the appropriateness of its original classification.

The reason for the linkage is that the Commission recognizes that there is a wide variability in the types of aquatic life in Colorado streams which require different levels of protection. Therefore, the numbers were chosen in some cases on a site specific basis to protect the species existing in that segment. If any reclassification is deemed a downgrading, then it will be based upon the grounds that the original classification was in error.

X. Economic Reasonableness

The Commission finds that these use classifications and water quality standards are economically reasonable. The Commission solicited and considered evidence of the economic impacts of these regulations. This evaluation necessarily involved a case-by-case consideration of such impacts, and reference is made to the fiscal impact statement for this analysis. Generally, a judgment was made as to whether the benefits in terms of improving water quality justified the costs of increased treatment. In the absence of evidence on economic impacts for a specific segment, the Commission concluded that the regulations impose no unreasonable economic burden.

XI. Classifications and Standards - Special Cases

1. Page 1, Segment 2 - San Juan River in Archuleta County (proposed as page 1, segment 2)

At issue was the recommendation contained in the Regional Water Quality Management "208" Plan that flow deficiencies and silt attributable to the San Juan - Chama diversion limited use of the segment to agriculture. Although both warm and cold water species, including trout, were

observed in the segment, the Commission found from the evidence that there was perennial flow sufficient to support the aquatic life use proposed.

In view of controversy in the testimony concerning flow, the Commission considered the recommendation in the "208 Plan, yet classified the aquatic life use as class 1, cold water because other testimony indicated that recorded stream flows were ample to support aquatic life.

2. Page 2, Segment 8

This segment was incorporated into segment 5 of page 1.

3. Page 2, Segment 10

The "208" Plan was relied on by the Commission and no other evidence on this segment was presented.

4. Page 3, Segment 3 - Piedra River

The Commission retained the cold water aquatic life class 1 classification after finding that although one small portion of the segment may be intermittent, due to diversion, it quickly remakes itself and the intermittent portion is very small compared with the total length of the segment. The Commission also notes that it's decision will have no impact on any discharger.

5. Page 4, Segment 2(a) and 2(b) Los Pinos River
(proposed as page 4, segment 2)

The resegmentation recommended by the Division is consistent with segmentation described in the Regional (208) Plan.

6. Page 6, Segment 2 - Animas and Florida Rivers

This is a large segment, exhibiting many water quality variables throughout its length. Although there is some evidence of insect life at points in the segment, the evidence regarding the presence of aquatic life is contradictory, and there is no evidence of fish life being present. In the absence of sufficient data to support the classification of any portion of this segment for aquatic life, the current status is being retained and no aquatic life, the current status is being retained and no aquatic life use is assigned. The Commission expects further information to be developed through studies sponsored by the Standard Metals Corporation and the Division.

The Commission declined to assign an agricultural classification to the segment due to the absence in the record of any evidence of an agricultural use in the segment.

7. Page 6, Segment 6

Since Cement Creek and its tributaries are degraded by abandoned mine drainage and past discharges, the Commission did not assign aquatic and agricultural classifications to the segment as had been proposed. The segment does not currently have an aquatic life classifications, and thus the status quo is maintained. The Commission placed recreation in the class 2 category as the basic use and found no agricultural use to be in place.

8. Page 7, Segment 7

The Woodling Study indicates that Mineral Creek from its source to its confluence with South Mineral Creek is highly toxic due to mineralization and there is not a likelihood that the sources of that toxicity will be corrected in 20 years. However the Commission concluded that there was likely to be aquatic life in that portion of Mineral Creek from below South Fork to Silverton. By changing the stream segment description such that it covers the mainstem of Mineral Creek including all tributaries from the source to a point immediately above the confluence with South Mineral Creek, the Commission was enabled to preserve the aquatic life classification on South Mineral Creek and the remaining portion of Mineral Creek into Silverton.

9. Page 8, Segment 12(a) and 12(b)
(proposed as page 6, segment 12)

Lemon Reservoir was resegmented as 12(a) for the purposes of classifying it Recreation Class 1 in recognition of known use appropriate to that classification.

10. Page 8, Segment 13(a) and 13(b)

Segment 13 included Junction Creek. The Resegmentation was to separate Junction Creek as 13(a) so that different standards could be assigned to it to protect its use as a water supply for a fish hatchery. The Commission felt that the testimony supported: (a) classification of the stream for cold water aquatic life class 2 because of poor habitat and low flow conditions; and (b) assignment of numeric standards to protect the fish hatchery. The Commission felt that the use was in-place and that the assignment of these standards was economically reasonable. It does not appear that discharges from trailer parks into this segment adversely impact this use. There was insufficient evidence in the record for the Commission to conclude that there would be any economic impact on such dischargers.

11. Page 8, Segment 15

Testimony was received by the Commission from the Purgatory Water and Sanitation District that the water supply classification was not applicable below the reservoir. The Commission concurred and determined that there should be no more than a class 2 aquatic life classification for this segment because of its intermittent flow and poor habitat characteristics. It was recommended that recreation class 2, agriculture and water supply be designated for the protection of the reach above the reservoir. Despite opposition to the water supply classification by Purgatory Water and Sanitation District based upon the absence of such use below Duncan Reservoir, the Commission finds that the presence of this use at other locations justifies the classification. This should not impact the District because the numeric standards for protection of the use are less stringent than those for protection of aquatic life and should be met by the discharger without additional treatment facilities.

12. Page 11, Segment 3 - Dolores River in Dolores County

Even though the regional "208" Plan recommended that the segment be classified for a water supply use, the Commission received no testimony that there was such use in the segment. Because of high levels of manganese and the lack of evidence of in place water supply use, the Commission did not so classify the segment. Anaconda Corporation proposed numeric

standards for silver and mercury. The Division recommended to the Commission that it not utilize the Anaconda proposals for those constituents because they were based on limited data, unusually high values, and questionable analytical techniques. It had not been documented that the levels of those constituents proposed by Anaconda had been routinely found in the stream. Due to this lack of certainty with respect to these metals values, the Commission did not choose to use the Anaconda data on mercury and silver.

34.16 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE:

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(a)(b) and (2); and 25-8-204 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for the numeric standards that were adopted.

The Commission also adopted in compliance with 24-4-103(4) C.R.S. the following statements of basis and purpose and fiscal impact.

BASIS AND PURPOSE - SAN JUAN AND DOLORES RIVER BASINS

The basis and purpose for the changes by segment is as follows:

Segment 6, Piedra River

- This segment contains the lakes listed for inclusion in the proposed Segment 7. In order to separate these lakes from this segment, the description must be changed.

Segment 7, Piedra River

- The lakes listed are all fisheries and a majority of them are used for sport fishing. Their present inclusion in Segment 6 does not represent their actual use, i.e., Class 1 Aquatic Life, or provide standards to protect this use. The Commission has classified all reservoirs in Segment 7 as Warm Water Class 1 instead of Cold Water Class 1 on the basis that: 1) all reservoirs are already heavily managed, including aeration; 2) trout have been introduced into the reservoirs and do not occur naturally; and 3) at least temperature excursions above that require for cold water classification occur.

The Commission notes that the data base supporting this change in classification to warm water Class 1 is not extensive and further water quality monitoring is encouraged.

Segment 15, Animas River

- Studies conducted by the Water Quality Control Division indicate that both Goulding Creek and Nary Draw are intermittent streams more appropriately classified under Segment 15 than under Segment 12a. The change in the description of Segment 15 will accomplish this and provide adequate protection of the uses.

Segment 8, La Plata River, Mancos-

The change in description to include Dolores County

River, McElmo Creek, and San Juan River

will include those streams which are unclassified under the existing description.

Change in basin description at top of pages 9 and 10 of the Tables

Change is needed to accurately reflect the streams included in this section with the change in description of Segment 8.

34.17 BASIS AND PURPOSE:

At the triennial review of the San Juan and Dolores River Basins in May, 1985, the Water Quality Control Division pointed out that the Division had recently (April, 1985) granted a variance to the limitation for cadmium in Anaconda Company's Rizo Mine discharge permit. The underlying stream concentration which was used to support the variance was 0.002 mg/l, and was based upon an $\bar{x} + s$ calculation of fifteen cadmium data points above the St. Louis ponds discharge collected in 1981. The rationale for the variance anticipated the establishment of a revised cadmium standard through the established standards setting procedure of the Water Quality Control Commission, and noted that subsequent to that procedure, an amended discharge elimination in Anaconda's discharge permit would be written.

This amendment initiates the standards setting process envisioned when the cadmium variance was granted to Anaconda with the expectation that the variance will expire upon adoption of a new standard.

The revision of the cadmium standard from 0.0004 mg/l to 0.0012 mg/l is based upon a review of data supplied by Anaconda at stations D2 and D3 above the discharge point on the Dolores River. Consideration was also given to the existing table value for cadmium at the ambient hardness levels in the river, and the draft position on cadmium is being considered by the Basic Standards Task Force.

34.18 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE: AUGUST, 1989 HEARING ON MULTIPLE SEGMENTS

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(a), (b) and (2); 25-8-203; 25-8-204; 25-8-207 and 25-8-402 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for adoption of these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted, in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE:

First, the Commission has adopted new introductory language for the tables, in section 3.4.6(2). The purpose of this language is to explain the new references to "table value standards" (TVS) that are contained in the Tables. The other changes considered and adopted are addressed below.

A. Jurisdiction on Tribal Lands

On the issue of classifying and setting standards on tribal lands, the Commission was advised to classify and set standards as they would for waters on non-tribal lands with the understanding that

the Commission is not attempting to assert jurisdiction or to usurp the authority of the tribe to classify and set standards for waters within the boundaries of the reservation.

B. Table Value Standards for Metals

San Juan, Segment 7; Los Pinos, Segment 4; Animas, Segment 5; Dolores, Segments 5 and 7.

Numerical standards for metals for these segments have in most instances previously been based on table values contained in Table III of the Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water. Table III has been substantially revised, effective September 30, 1988. A few of these segments had no new data to indicate that new table value standards are not appropriate. There are also some of these segments whose previous standards were based in part on ambient quality, since their quality did not meet old table values based on alkalinity ranges. However, these segments generally have much higher hardness than alkalinity, and the new table values (based on hardness-dependent equations) are now appropriate as standards.

C. New High Quality 2 Designations

San Juan, Segments 1, 5, and 9; Piedra, Segments 3 and 5; Los Pinos, Segment 2a; Animas, Segments 8a, 10, 11, 12a, 12b, and 14; La Plata, Segments 1 and 4; Dolores, Segments 4 and 10.

From the information available, it appears that the existing quality of these segments meets or exceeds the quality specified by the revised criteria in Table III, and new acute and chronic table value standards based thereon have therefore been adopted.

Second, in addition to these standards changes, the use classifications have been revised where necessary so that each of these segments has the following classifications:

Recreation - Class 1

Cold Water Aquatic Life - Class 1

Water Supply

Agriculture

D. Existing High Quality 2 Segments: New Classifications and Standards

San Juan, Segment 4; Piedra, Segments 1 and 2; Los Pinos, Segment 1; Animas and Florida, Segment 1; Dolores, Segment 1.

These segments were already described as High Quality Class 2, as all are wilderness and wild and scenic rivers. Available information indicates that the parallel new High Quality 2 designation continues to be appropriate for each, along with new table value numeric standards and equations for cold water aquatic life classifications, i.e., acute (trout) for cadmium and zinc and chronic (trout) for silver.

The following use classifications, and associated table value standards, have been adopted for these segments:

Recreation - Class 1

Cold Water Aquatic Life - Class 1

Water Supply

Agriculture

E. Existing High Quality 2 Segments: New Classifications and Standards

San Juan, Segments 3, 10, and 11; Piedra, Segment 6; Los Pinos, Segment 6; Animas and Florida, Segments 3, 4, 9, 13b, and 15; La Plata, Mancos, McElmo, and San Juan, Segments 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8; Dolores, Segment 9 and 11.

These segments all qualify for a Use-Protected designation based either on their present classifications or the existing standards contain three or more of the following metals parameters whose concentrations, based on total recoverable metals, indicate they may be worse than that specified in Table III for the protection of aquatic life class 1 use: cadmium, copper, iron, lead, or zinc.

F. New Use-Protected Designation: Table Value Standards

Piedra, Segment 7; Animas and Florida, Segment 13a.

These segments qualify for a Use-Protected designation based upon their classification. Previous standards were based on table values and no new data was presented to indicate new table value standards are not appropriate.

For these segments, acute and chronic table value standards have been adopted for arsenic, cadmium, chromium (III and IV), copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, selenium, silver, and zinc.

G. Revised Recreation Classification

San Juan, Segments 2 and 6; Piedra, Segment 4; Los Pinos, Segment 2b; La Plata, Segment 9

The recreation classification on these segments has been upgraded from Class 2 to Class 1 (whole body immersion is likely) because the stream sampling data indicate that the fecal coliform standard 200/100 ml is not being exceeded, and conditions are normally considered suitable for swimming or intentional whole body contact. This action was taken in response to a concern raised by the EPA regarding segments not attaining "fishable/swimmable" uses.

H. Other Revisions

1. Los Pinos, Segments 3 and 5.

Based on stream sampling data for Segment 3, table value standards were established as were ambient standards for cadmium and lead. For Segment 5, ambient standards for cadmium and lead were added; table value standards were added for the remaining metals.

2. San Juan, Segment 9 (Four Corners Area)

Table Value Standards for metals have been adopted for this segment with the exception of total recoverable iron whose 50 percentile value is 2200 ug/l. In addition, the recreation classification has been changed from Class 2 to Class 1 with a fecal coliform standard of 200/100 ml.

**34.19 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE:
FEBRUARY, 1990 EMERGENCY RULEMAKING HEARING**

The provisions of 25-8-208 and 25-8-402 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for action on these regulatory amendments.

BASIS AND PURPOSE:

The Commission held this emergency rulemaking hearing to readopt the classifications and numeric standards for the San Juan River and Dolores River Basins to correct errors in the original filing. The affected regulation was amended on November 7, 1989 and was filed within the required timeframes with the Secretary of State's Office and the Office of Legislative Legal Services. The Commission learned shortly after the filings that three (3) pages had been inadvertently left out of the regulation, and that a typographical error appeared throughout the classification and standards tables that are part of the regulation. The Commission office was able to correct the errors with a replacement filing with the Secretary of State's Office so that the regulation published in the CCR (Colorado Code of Regulation) correctly reflects the Commission's actions.

The Office of Legislative Legal Services notified the Commission that it could not accept the corrected materials as they had not been submitted within the 20 day timeframe called for in section 24-4-103 (8) (d), C.R.S. of the "State Administrative Procedure Act". It was suggested that the Commission needed to repromulgate the rules that contained the errors submitted in November, 1989 and resubmit them.

The Commission elected to proceed on an emergency rulemaking basis to avoid any confusion that could result due to the fact that the two filings are currently not the same. Therefore, the Commission adopted the corrected version of the regulation at an emergency rulemaking hearing on February 6, 1990. Final action on the readoption is scheduled for June 5, 1990.

**34.20 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE:
JUNE, 1990 RULEMAKING HEARING**

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(a), (b) and (2); 25-8-203; 25-8-204; 25-8-207 and 25-8-402 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for action on these regulatory amendments.

BASIS AND PURPOSE:

The Commission held this rulemaking hearing to make permanent the emergency hearing that was held in February, 1990 to readopt the classifications and numeric standards for the San Juan River and Dolores River Basins to correct errors in the original filing. The affected regulation was amended on November 7, 1989 and was filed within the required timeframes with the Secretary of State's Office and the Office of Legislative Legal Services. The Commission learned shortly after the filings that three (3) pages had been inadvertently left out of the regulation, and that a typographical error appeared throughout the classification and standards tables that are part of the regulation. The Commission office was able to correct the errors with a replacement filing with the Secretary of State's Office so that the regulation published in the CCR (Colorado Code of Regulation) correctly reflects the Commission's actions.

The Office of Legislative Legal Services notified the Commission that it could not accept the corrected materials as they had not been submitted within the 20 day timeframe called for in section 24-4-103 (8) (d), C.R.S. of the "State Administrative Procedure Act". It was suggested that the Commission needed to repromulgate the rules that contained the errors submitted in November, 1989 and resubmit them.

The Commission elected to proceed on an emergency rulemaking basis to avoid any confusion that could result due to the fact that the two filings are currently not the same. Therefore, the Commission adopted the corrected version of the regulation at an emergency rulemaking hearing on February 6, 1990.

34.21 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND PURPOSE, MARCH 1, 1993 HEARING:

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(a), (b) and (2); 25-8-203; 25-8-204; and 25-8-402 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for adoption of these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE:

The changes to the designation column eliminating the old High Quality 1 and 2 (HQ1, HQ2) designations, and replacing HQ1 with Outstanding Waters (OW) designation were made to reflect the new mandates of section 25-8-209 of the Colorado Water Quality Act which was amended by HB 92-1200. The Commission believes that the immediate adoption of these changes and the proposals contained in the hearing notice is preferable to the alternative of waiting to adopt them in the individual basin hearings over the next three years. Adoption now should remove any potential for misinterpretation of the classifications and standards in the interim.

In addition, the Commission made the following minor revisions to all basin segments to conform them to the most recent regulatory changes:

1. The glossary of abbreviations and symbols were out of date and have been replaced by an updated version in section 3.4.6(2).
2. The organic standards in the Basic Standards were amended in October, 1991, which was subsequent to the basin hearings. The existing table was based on pre-1991 organic standards and are out of date and no longer relevant. Deleting the existing table and

referencing the Basic Standards will eliminate any confusion as to which standards are applicable.

3. The table value for ammonia and zinc in the Basic Standards was revised in October, 1991. The change to the latest table value will bring a consistency between the tables in the basin standards and Basic Standards.
4. The addition of acute un-ionized ammonia is meant to bring a consistency with all other standards that have both the acute and chronic values listed. The change in the chlorine standard is based on the adoption of new acute and chronic chlorine criteria in the Basic Standards in October, 1991.

Finally, the Commission confirms that in no case will any of the minor update changes described above change or override any segment-specific water quality standards.

34.22 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND PURPOSE; MARCH 1, 1993 HEARING:

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(a), (b) and (2); 25-8-203; 25-8-204; and 25-8-402 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for adoption of these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE:

On November 30, 1991, revisions to "The Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water", 3.10 (5 CCR 1002-8), became effective. As part of the revisions, the averaging period for the selenium criterion to be applied as a standard to a drinking water supply classification was changed from a 1-day to a 30-day duration. The site-specific standards for selenium on drinking water supply segments were to be changed at the time of rulemaking for the particular basin. Only one river basin, the South Platte, has gone through basin-wide rulemaking since these revisions to the "Basic Standards". Through an oversight, the selenium standards was not addressed in the rulemaking for this basin and has since become an issue in a wasteload allocation being developed for segments 15 and 16 of the South Platte. Agreement on the wasteloads for selenium is dependent upon a 30-day averaging period for selenium limits in the effected parties permits. Therefore, the parties requested that a rulemaking hearing be held for the South Platte Basin to address changing the designation of the 10 ug/l selenium standard on all water supply segments from a 1-day to a 30-day standard. The Water Quality Control Division, foreseeing the possibility of a selenium issue arising elsewhere in the state, made a counter proposal to have one hearing to change the designation for the selenium standard on all water supply segments statewide. The Commission and the parties concerned with South Platte segments 15 and 16 agreed that this would be the most judicious way to address the issue.

The change in the averaging period may cause a slight increase in selenium loads to those segments which have CPDS permits regulating selenium on the basis of a water supply standard. However, these segments are only five in number and the use will still be fully protected on the basis that the selenium criterion is based on 1975 national interim primary drinking water regulations which assumed selenium to be a potential carcinogen. It has since been categorized

as a non-carcinogen and new national primary drinking water regulations were promulgated in 1991 that raised the standard to 50 ug/l.

The Commission also corrected a type error in the TVS for Silver by changing the sign on the exponent for the chronic standard for Trout from +10.51 to - 10.51.

**34.23 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE:
SEPTEMBER 12, 1994 HEARING:**

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(a), (b) and (2); 25-8-203; 25-8-204; and 25-8-402 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for adoption of these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted in compliance with 24-4-103(4), C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE

A. BACKGROUND

Between 1991 and 1993 the Water Quality Control Division, in cooperation with several federal, state, local and private interests conducted an intensive water quality investigation of the Animas River and its tributaries from Elk Creek to the headwaters. The objectives of the study were to characterize the current chemical, biological, and physical conditions of the Animas River and selected tributaries above Elk Creek and to quantify the areas of highest metal loadings and determine the potential for water quality improvement sufficient to allow naturally reproducing trout populations; and to prioritize sites for remedial projects based on relative loading, environmental impact, feasibility, cost, and benefits.

The water quality of this area is extensively impacted by heavy metals which are attributed to both natural and anthropogenic factors. The results of the investigation have been used to identify the beneficial uses and water quality that are currently being achieved or that may reasonably be achieved within a twenty year period through restoration of disturbed sites.

B. OVERVIEW

The starting point for the Commission's analysis is a conclusion that appears to be shared by most, if not all, of the participants in this rulemaking proceeding: current water quality in the Animas River Basin can and should be improved. For example, quoting from the Statement of the Animas River Stakeholders' Group:

All stakeholders agree that current water quality can and should be protected from any further degradation; all agree that there are opportunities to make improvements, and that improvement is desirable even if it were not mandated; all agree that the task before us now is to identify the sources of significant human-caused loadings and find ways to remediate them.

Beyond this starting point, there was considerable debate in the hearing, and among Commission members in its initial deliberations, regarding the most appropriate and constructive way to encourage and stimulate the desired water quality improvement. One perspective offered was that the Commission should adopt underlying numerical and narrative standards for the critical

segments in question that would establish goals for water quality improvement, tempered by temporary modifications that recognize current water quality. An alternative perspective suggested that adopting such goals as legally effective standards before the feasibility of specific clean-up projects had been determined—and the achievable improvement quantified—may hinder the cooperative, community-based effort that has been evolving to identify, prioritize and acquire funding for remediation projects.

Following extensive discussion and debate, the Commission has decided to adopt a hybrid result that consists of two components. First, the set of proposals advanced by the Water Quality Control Division staff, based on the promulgation of underlying goal-based numerical and narrative standards for the critical segments, is adopted by the Commission with a three-year delayed effective date. The Commission finds that the evidence submitted in the hearing provides a sound scientific basis for the adoption of the Division's proposal, with the caveat that three-year temporary modifications almost certainly will not provide an adequate period in which to achieve water quality improvement that will attain the underlying standards. The issue of temporary modifications is discussed further below.

The second component of the action being taken by the Commission is the adoption of ambient quality-based standards that will be in place for the critical segments until the effective date of the goal-based standards described above. The purpose of taking this step, as opposed to adopting the goal-based standards with an immediate effective date, is to encourage the cooperative, community-based effort toward water quality improvement that has begun in the basin, unencumbered by the potential implications of the goal-based standards being in effect. This action is an experiment, intended to assess the ability of a cooperative process to achieve meaningful progress toward water quality improvement without the underlying improvement goal being reflected in currently effective, legally binding water quality standards.

If substantial progress toward water quality improvement—through the identification, prioritization and implementation of remediation projects—is achieved within the next three years, and if it appears three years from now that the lack of legal effectiveness of the goal-based standards will provide the best stimulus for further progress, further delay in the effective date of the goal-based standards can be considered by the Commission at that time. Of course, such progress could also demonstrate that the identified goals are achievable, or that they should be refined in some manner.

If, however, substantial and diligent progress toward water quality improvement is not achieved over the next three years, it is the intent of the Commission that the goal-based standards should and will be allowed to go into effect at that time to stimulate further progress. In a new rulemaking hearing, the burden should be on those that have argued that clean-up will be more successful with a cooperative effort working toward a goal, without that goal being reflected in currently effective water quality standards, to demonstrate the success of this experiment.

The Water Quality Control Commission expects that the cooperative effort will be successful and is attempting by this action to send that message to all stakeholders. To those concerned about the potential impacts on property owners of goal-based standards being in effect, the message is that the Commission wants to encourage this locally-driven, cooperative watershed improvement initiative by demonstrating as much flexibility as possible. To federal agencies or others with potential resources to devote to water quality improvement efforts, the message is that working toward such improvement in this basin is an extremely high priority for the State of Colorado. To the Water Quality Control Division and those that supported their proposal in this rulemaking

proceeding, the message is that the Commission has been persuaded--based on the unprecedented level of monitoring and analysis that has occurred in this basin--that a sound scientific justification has been provided for the adoption of goal-based water quality standards, and that these standards should be allowed to go into effect unless it is demonstrated that the pending experiment in cooperative watershed management can succeed without this legal impetus. To all of the residents of the Animas River Basin, the message is that the Commission is concerned about water quality in your basin and is willing to work with you to explore whatever options appear most likely to facilitate progress toward water quality improvement in the least disruptive and most expeditious manner.

In summary, the Commission's action in revising the Animas River Basin water quality classifications and standards should in no way be interpreted as a sanctioning of the status quo. To repeat, current water quality in the Animas River Basin can and should be improved. The purpose of the Commission's action is to establish a clear goal of attaining such improvement, while providing regulatory flexibility intended to encourage cooperative efforts toward such improvement.

C. IMPLICATIONS OF THE HYBRID ACTION

Because of the unorthodox nature of the hybrid action being taken, the Commission believes that it may be important to clarify its understanding regarding the implications of this action for various activities or decisions that will need to be undertaken by others during the next three years.

For any existing point source discharge permit that may come up for renewal during the next three years, or for any new wastewater discharge permit issued during this period, the Commission intends that the permit would be written based on the ambient quality-based standards then in effect, along with other applicable effluent quality restrictions. The Commission also understands that ambient quality-based standards would require the continuation of current treatment levels for permit renewals, to assure that further degradation of water quality does not occur.

To the extent that general or individual storm water permits may be required for some sites in the basin, the Commission understands that the water quality standards now being adopted are not likely to affect the content of the first round of any such permits, which are anticipated to be based principally on the implementation of best management practices (BMPs). Such initial BMPs are not likely to be significantly different whether they are deemed to be technology-based or water quality-based.

Finally, as discussed above, the Commission intends this action to provide a clear message to other agencies, entities and persons involved with potential nonpoint source clean-up projects that the Animas River Basin is in fact a high priority for such efforts. The delayed effective date for goal-based standards should not be interpreted to in any way lessen the priority of this basin; rather, as discussed above, this hybrid action is intended to provide flexibility for the cooperative, community-based efforts toward clean-up while at the same time clarifying that improvement is the goal.

D. DELAYED CLASSIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS

This portion of this statement describes the basis for the goal-based standards that are scheduled to go into effect three years after the effective date of this action.

The upper Animas water quality study found that the Animas River and several tributaries above Maggie Gulch (segment 2), the Animas River from Cement Creek to Mineral Creek (segment 3b), Cement Creek and its tributaries (segment 7), and Mineral Creek above the confluence with South Mineral Creek (segment 8) do not support diverse forms of aquatic life owing to poor water quality and limited physical habitat. The imposition of effluent limits required under the Federal Act for point sources and cost-effective and reasonable best management practices (BMP's) for nonpoint sources are not likely to lead to the establishment of aquatic life in these segments. Additionally, federal regulation (40 C.F.R. 131.10) allows excluding an aquatic life classification where naturally occurring pollutant concentrations prevent the attainment of the use and/or human caused conditions or sources of pollution prevent the attainment of the use and cannot be remedied or would cause more environmental damage to correct than to leave in place. Therefore, an aquatic life classification is not being adopted for these segments. Downstream use classifications, however, depend on maintaining or improving the water quality in these segments. The Commission has therefore, determined that narrative standards for metals based on the application of BMP's to nonpoint sources and the continuation of current treatment levels for existing point sources for these segments establish an appropriate goal for water quality in these segments. Narrative (and for zinc in segment 3b, numerical) temporary modifications have been adopted based on current ambient quality in these segments, to assure no additional degradation of downstream segments.

The Commission recognizes that even with aggressive clean-up efforts, it may take many years to achieve in-stream quality that attains the underlying goal-based standards. Three-year temporary modifications are being adopted in an attempt to avoid conflict with the current EPA policy that temporary modifications are variances that can not be extend for longer than three years without being readopted. The Commission anticipates that many, if not all, of the temporary modifications being adopted in this proceeding will need to be extended beyond three years to attain the underlying standards, even considering the delayed effective date of that portion of the action that includes temporary modifications.

The Commission has further determined that the Animas River between Maggie Gulch and Cement Creek (segment 3a) supports a population of brook trout that appears to be naturally reproducing in that it consists of multiple age classes. The segment also contains a diversity of macrobenthos and possesses physical habitat similar to other streams in the Southern Rocky Mountain ecoregion. Although the concentration of several metals, especially cadmium and zinc, are higher than what is required to protect the most sensitive aquatic life species, they are lower than the chronic toxic criteria for brook trout. Therefore a cold water aquatic life class 1 classification is being established to protect the resident aquatic life found in this segment. Ambient standards for cadmium and zinc are adopted to ensure that downstream use classifications and standards are not jeopardized. The imposition of effluent limits required under the Federal Act for point sources and cost-effective and reasonable best management practices for nonpoint sources are not likely to lead to the establishment of the most sensitive aquatic life species in this segment. However, consistent with its prior practice, the Commission has determined that the most sensitive species need not be present to find that a segment is "capable of sustaining a wide variety of cold water biota, including sensitive species", warranting a cold water class 1 classification. Section 3.1.7(1)(b)(ii) authorizes ambient standards where natural or irreversible man-induced ambient levels are higher than TVS but are adequate to protect the classified uses.

Mineral Creek between South Mineral Creek and the Animas River, renumbered segment 9b, was already classified aquatic life cold water class 1, with total recoverable table value standards. The

upper Animas water quality study showed that pH, aluminum, copper, iron, and zinc greatly exceed TVS in this segment and that both fish and macroinvertebrates are absent from the segment. The physical habitat assessment, however, found it comparable to other habitats within the Southern Rocky Mountain ecoregion. Because most of the aluminum, copper, iron, and zinc are contributed from two areas, there may be a potential to reduce loading from either or both of these areas. The Commission chose not to remove the aquatic life classification until it has been demonstrated that sources cannot be remedied within a twenty year period or would cause more environmental damage than to leave it in place. The Commission adopted TVS for segment 9b, together with temporary modifications for aluminum, copper, iron, and zinc based on ambient quality until the feasibility of remediation has been established. A use-protected designation has been added to this segment based on four key parameters with existing quality worse than table values.

The Animas River between Mineral Creek and Elk Creek, renumbered segment 4a, has not previously had an aquatic life classification. The upper Animas water quality study found that the water quality below Mineral Creek is suitable for brook trout and has physical habitat similar to other aquatic life streams in the Southern Rocky Mountain ecoregion. Some improvement in water quality from Cement Creek, Mineral Creek, and/or the Upper Animas may enable the water quality of the segment to support brown trout. However, the imposition of effluent limits required under the Federal Act for point sources and cost-effective and reasonable best management practices for nonpoint sources are not likely to lead to the establishment of aquatic life uses including the most sensitive species in this segment. The Commission adopted the aquatic life cold class 1 classification as a goal and TVS for this segment, except for the zinc standard which is based on the chronic toxic criterion for brown trout. Consistent with its prior practice, the Commission has determined that the most sensitive species need not be present or attainable to find that a segment is or may become "capable of sustaining a wide variety of cold water biota, including sensitive species", warranting a cold water class 1 classification. A temporary modification for zinc, based on the ambient quality, has been adopted until the feasibility for load reduction has been established.

E. AMBIENT QUALITY-BASED STANDARDS

This portion of this statement describes the basis for the ambient quality-based standards that are adopted for the three-year period starting with the effective date of this action.

For segments 2, 3b, 7 and 8, the Commission has adopted a narrative standard based on existing ambient quality for all metals to be applicable for the next three years. For segments 4a, 4b, and 9b, for this same time period the Commission has adopted ambient-quality based numerical standards for specific metals for which ambient quality currently is higher (worse than) table values. These standards are intended to protect the aquatic life that is currently in place in these segments until the goal-based standards go into effect. As discussed above, the primary basis for adopting these numerical and narrative ambient quality-based standards is to provide maximum regulatory flexibility to encourage the cooperative, community-based effort toward clean-up to proceed. This approach provides time in which additional information can be developed regarding the feasibility of specific remedial efforts that will result in water quality improvement.

Having ambient standards in place for the next three years means that any point source permits issued or renewed during this period will be based on those ambient standards, along with other applicable effluent quality restrictions, rather than being based on the more stringent goal-based standards. At the same time, the ambient standards should help assure that no additional

degradation in water quality occurs for these segments in the next three years while clean-up actions are being examined and initiated.

For segment 4a, the aquatic life cold class 2 classification and the use-protected designation proposed by Sunnyside have been adopted for the next three years, since this classification and designation appear to be more consistent with the ambient standards applicable during that period. As discussed above, at the end of three years the use-protected designation would expire and the aquatic life classification would become cold water class 1.

For segment 9b, the currently applicable class 1 aquatic life classification has been left in place, even though ambient standards proposed by Sunnyside have been adopted for the next three years. The Commission believes that a downgrading of the classification of this segment is premature, pending additional analysis of clean-up opportunities. As noted above, the use-protected designation proposed by the Division and several parties has also been adopted.

F. OTHER ISSUES

The above discussion, like the evidence submitted at the hearing, focuses principally on appropriate aquatic life classifications and associated water quality standards. In this hearing the Commission also added an agriculture classification to segments 2, 3a, and 7, based on evidence regarding the presence of grazing. In addition, the Commission changed the recreation classification from class 2 to class 1 for segments 4a, 4b, 5a, and 5b, based on evidence regarding the presence of primary contact recreation. Finally, fecal coliform standards for segments 2 and 3a were changed from 2,000 to 200/ml, to provide additional protection that better reflects current ambient conditions. There are no affected point sources on these segments.

34.24 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND PURPOSE: MARCH 14, 1995 HEARING (San Juan and Dolores River Basins revisions)

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(a), (b) and (2); 25-8-204; and 25-8-402 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for the adoption of these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted in compliance with 24-4-103(4) C.R.S. the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE

The Water Quality Control Division (Division) proposed that the Water Quality Control Commission consider the following changes to the Classifications and Numeric Standards for San Juan River and Dolores River Basins, 3.4.0. The basis and purpose for the changes are organized by topic.

A. Resegmentation

Several of the segments contained waters that crossed into or were on the Southern Ute and Ute Mountain Indian Reservations. Both tribes are in the process of developing classifications and standards for waters within their reservations and it was agreeable to both tribes that those segments should be bi-furcated to indicate which portions are on tribal lands and to ease their future removal from the state standards system when the tribes' standards are approved by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. The segments on the Southern Ute Reservation, at the request of the Southern Ute Tribe, have been

maintained at the classifications and standards in effect prior to this rulemaking hearing. The standards on some segments on the Ute Mountain Reservation, after discussion with tribal representatives, were changed to parallel the changes made by the state on the adjacent segments. These were all related to changes from total recoverable to dissolved metals standards where data indicated table value standards for metals were appropriate.

In addition to the bifurcation of segments, all segments, new and old, which delineate tributaries have added wetlands to their descriptions to clarify that all tributary wetlands have the same classifications and standards as the tributary streams, lakes and reservoirs.

The DOW identified several areas requiring resegmentation or changes to standards in order to protect fisheries. Therefore, the following changes were made. Mill Creek and Echo Canyon Reservoir were reassigned from San Juan segment 11 to San Juan segment 6a. Weber Canyon was reassigned from Mancos segment 6 to Mancos segment 5a. Summit Reservoir was reassigned from Dolores segment 11 to Dolores segment 4. Narraguinnep, Puett and Totten Reservoirs are reassigned from McElmo Creek segment 8 to McElmo Creek segment 11. According to new information, these waters support fisheries, fish consumption, and intensive recreation, and are suitable for domestic use. Therefore, this new segment 11 was assigned classifications of Recreation class 1, Aquatic Life Warm 1, Water Supply and Agriculture, with appropriate table value standards.

B. Segments Converted to Dissolved Metals Standards

There were several segments which still had metals standards based on the old total recoverable criteria. Review of metals data submitted to the hearing allowed the metal standards on the following segments to be appropriately converted from total recoverable to dissolved standards:

San Juan River segment 6a

Piedra River segment 4a

La Plata River segment 2a

Mancos River segments 5a and 5b

McElmo Creek segment 7

Dolores River segments 2, 3, 5, 6, and 9

C. Revision of Classifications or Standards to Meet the Fishable/Swimmable Goals of Clean Water Act

Several segments in the San Juan-Dolores river basins did not have use classifications which met the swimmable goals of the Clean Water Act. Consistent with strategies adopted by the Commission, these segments which are designated recreation class 2 and have no point source dischargers to the segment have had their fecal coliform standard set equal to 200/100 ml. These segments are:

La Plata River segment 2a

Dolores River segments 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, and 9

D. Manganese and Mercury Standards

On all segments classified for water supply and aquatic life uses, the total recoverable manganese standard of 1,000 ug/l was stricken. The aquatic life manganese criterion was changed in 1991 revisions to the Basic Standards from total recoverable to dissolved and on these segments a more stringent dissolved manganese water supply standard of 50 ug/l is in place.

Mercury standards designated as total recoverable (Trec) were changed to Total (tot). This change reflects the Basic Standards designation of total mercury as the appropriate form of mercury for final residual value (FRV) standards.

E. Deletion of Use-Protected Designation

One segment classified aquatic class 1, Piedra River segment 7, was found to have a use-protected designation which was based on prior basic standards requirements pertaining to waters classified as warmwater aquatic life class 1, recreation class 2. The designation was removed to conform to the requirements now in effect.

F. Water Supply Classifications and Standards

New data on several segments showed the water quality to be suitable for a water supply classification. The water supply classifications and standards were added to the following segments:

San Juan River segment 6a

Piedra River segment 4a

McElmo Creek segment 11

PARTIES TO THE MARCH, 1995 RULEMAKING HEARING

1. Pagosa Springs Sanitation District
2. Southwestern Water Conservation District
3. Southern Ute Indian Tribe
4. Pagosa Area Water and Sanitation District
5. Board of County Commissioners of San Juan County
6. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Region VIII Office
7. Colorado Division of Wildlife

34.25 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND PURPOSE (1995 Silver hearing)

The provisions of C.R.S. 25-8-202(1)(b), (2) and 25-8-204; provide the specific statutory authority for adoption of these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted in compliance with 24-4-103(4) C.R.S. the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE

The changes described below are being adopted simultaneously for surface water in all Colorado river basins.

This action implements revisions to the Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water adopted by the Commission in January, 1995. As part of a July, 1994 rulemaking hearing, the Commission considered the proposal of various parties to delete the chronic and chronic (trout) table values for silver in Table III of the Basic Standards. As a result of that hearing, the Commission found that the evidence demonstrated that ionic silver causes chronic toxicity to fish at levels below that established by the acute table values. It was undisputed that silver is present in Colorado streams and in the effluent of municipal and industrial dischargers in Colorado. The evidence also demonstrated that the removal of silver from wastewater can be costly. However, there was strongly conflicting scientific evidence regarding the degree to which silver does, or could in the absence of chronic standards, result in actual toxicity to aquatic life in Colorado surface waters. In particular, there was conflicting evidence regarding the degree to which the toxic effects of free silver are mitigated by reaction with soluble ligands to form less toxic compounds and by adsorption to particulates and sediments.

The Commission concluded that there is a need for additional analysis of the potential chronic toxicity of silver in streams in Colorado. The Commission encouraged the participants in that hearing, and any other interested parties, to work together to develop additional information that will help resolve the differences in scientific opinions that were presented in the hearing. The Commission believes that it should be possible to develop such information within the next three years.

In the meantime, the Commission decided as a matter of policy to take two actions. First, the chronic and chronic (trout) table values for silver have been repealed for the next three years. The Commission is now implementing this action by also repealing for the next three years, in this separate rulemaking hearing, all current chronic table value standards for silver previously established on surface waters in Colorado. Any acute silver standards and any site-specific silver standards not based on the chronic table values will remain in effect. The Commission intends that any discharge permits issued or renewed during this period will not include effluent limitations based on chronic table value standards, since such standards will not currently be in effect. In addition, at the request of any discharger, any such effluent limitations currently in permits should be deleted.

The second action taken by the Commission was the readoption of the chronic and chronic (trout) table values for silver, with a delayed effective date of three years from the effective date of final action. The Commission also is implementing this action by readopting chronic silver standards with a corresponding delayed effective date at the same time that such standards are deleted from the individual basins. The Commission has determined that this is an appropriate policy choice to encourage efforts to reduce or eliminate the current scientific uncertainty regarding in-stream silver toxicity, and to assure that Colorado aquatic life are protected from chronic silver toxicity if additional scientific information is not developed. If the current scientific uncertainty persists after

three years, the Commission believes that it should be resolved by assuring protection of aquatic life.

In summary, in balancing the policy considerations resulting from the facts presented in the July 1994 rulemaking hearing and in this hearing, the Commission has chosen to provide relief for dischargers from the potential cost of treatment to meet chronic silver standards during the next three years, while also providing that such standards will again become effective after three years if additional scientific information does not shed further light on the need, or lack of need, for such standards.

Finally, the Division notes that arsenic is listed as a TVS standard in all cases where the Water Supply classification is not present. This is misleading since Table III in the Basic Standards lists an acute aquatic life criterion of 360 ug/l and a chronic criterion of 150 ug/l for arsenic, but a more restrictive agriculture criterion of 100 ug/l. It would be clearer to the reader of the basin standards if, for each instance where the standard "As(ac/ch)=TVS" appears, the standard "As=100(Trec)" is being inserted as a replacement. This change should make it clear that the agriculture protection standard would prevail in those instances where the more restrictive water supply use protective standard (50 ug/l) was not appropriate because that classification was absent.

The chemical symbol for antimony (Sb) was inadvertently left out of the "Tables" section which precedes the list of segments in each set of basin standards. The correction of this oversight will aid the reader in understanding the content of the segment standards. Also preceding the list of segment standards in each basin is a table showing the Table Value Standards for aquatic life protection which are then referred to as "TVS" in the segment listings. For cadmium, two equations for an acute table value standard should be shown, one for all aquatic life, and one where trout are present. A third equation for chronic table value should also be listed. The order of these three equations should be revised to first list the acute equation, next the acute (trout) equation, followed by the chronic equation. This change will also aid the reader in understanding the intent of the Table Value Standards.

PARTIES TO THE PUBLIC RULEMAKING HEARING
JUNE 12, 1995

1. Coors Brewing Company
2. The Silver Coalition
3. Cyprus Climax Metals Company
4. The City of Fort Collins
5. The City of Colorado Springs

34.26 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND PURPOSE: JULY 1997 RULEMAKING

The provisions of sections 25-8-202 and 25-8-401, C.R.S., provide the specific statutory authority for adoption of the attached regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted, in compliance with section 24-4-103(4) C.R.S., the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE

The Commission has adopted a revised numbering system for this regulation, as a part of an overall renumbering of all Water Quality Control Commission rules and regulations. The goals of the renumbering are: (1) to achieve a more logical organization and numbering of the regulations, with a system that provides flexibility for future modifications, and (2) to make the Commission's internal numbering system and that of the Colorado Code of Regulations (CCR) consistent. The CCR references for the regulations will also be revised as a result of this hearing.

34.27 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND PURPOSE:
NOVEMBER, 1997 RULEMAKING

The provisions of 25-8-202(1)(a) and (b); 25-8-203; 25-8-204; and 25-8-402 C.R.S. provide the specific statutory authority for adoption of these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted in compliance with 24-4-103(4) C.R.S. the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE

In 1995, the Water Quality Control Commission adopted underlying goal-based numerical and narrative standards with temporary modifications for segments 2, 3b, 4a, 4b, 7, 8 and 9b of the Animas River Basin. The underlying goal-based standards were adopted with a three-year delayed effective date. In the interim, ambient quality-based standards were adopted for the critical segments to protect aquatic life currently in place in these segments. The critical segments did not meet the underlying goal based numeric standards, and it was not clear that the goal-based standards were in fact achievable within a 20-year period. Numeric standards were adopted in other segments of the river where supported by existing water quality. The overall purpose for adopting the underlying goal-based standards with temporary modifications was to encourage continuation of an existing community-based, cooperative watershed improvement initiative designed to improve water quality in the Animas River Basin unencumbered by the potential implications of the goal-based standards being in effect.

The Commission charged the Animas Stakeholders Group with the responsibility to determine the feasibility of specific clean-up projects, the quantification of achievable improvements and to identify, prioritize and acquire funding for remediation projects. Based on this work, the Commission expected that recommendations would be made for the permanent adoption of the underlying goal-based numeric standards or for alternative standards that would be achievable within a 20-year period. The Stakeholders have worked successfully toward accomplishment of this end. Significant progress has taken place in the basin in completion of feasibility studies, identification and prioritization of specific clean-up projects, initial funding for projects and on-the-ground remediation work in process. Evidence was submitted in the rulemaking regarding the work accomplished to date, additional work in progress or planned in the near future, and a schedule for the additional work planned during the next three years. Part of the planned work will be completed in conjunction with the U.S. Department of the Interior Abandoned Mined Land Initiative, which is designed to develop practical characterization and remediation methodologies for federal land managers and others to be used in a watershed management approach. The Animas Basin is one of two national pilot projects for this initiative. From this information, it is apparent that additional time is needed to finish studies to adequately characterize pollution sources, quantify feasible remediation levels, and define habitat limitations along with the potential for aquatic life. Completion of this work is necessary to provide a comprehensive recommendation to the Commission for ultimate numeric/narrative standards.

In order to allow the ongoing community-based, cooperative watershed improvements initiative an opportunity to continue the promising effort that is currently underway, the Commission has decided that the delayed effective date of underlying goal-based standards (and associated temporary modifications) should be delayed for another three years, to March 2, 2001.

With this extension, the Commission has the following expectations for: (1) preparation by the Stakeholders of a use attainability analysis which proposes aquatic life uses which are potentially attainable, specifies the causes of water quality impairment, determines the sources which may be controlled, and provides an economic evaluation of such a proposal; (2) that the Stakeholders, in conjunction with the Division of Wildlife develop recommendations for an appropriate underlying standard for zinc for segment 4a, as part of the use attainability analysis; and (3) that the delay until March 2, 2001 approved by the Commission for the effective date of underlying standards is adequate for all study to be completed and appropriate standards to be established.

Finally, the Commission notes that the action taken here is a unique approach to the unique situation present in the Animas River Basin, including the presence of a cooperative, community-based effort with unusually broad participation. This action should not be viewed as a precedent for other site-specific hearings.

PARTIES TO THE RULEMAKING HEARING

1. Animas River Stakeholders Group
2. Colorado Division of Wildlife
3. Town of Silverton
4. Sunnyside Gold Corporation
5. The Silver Wing Company, Inc
6. Southwestern Water Conservation District of Colorado
- 7 Gold King Mines Corporation
8. US EPA Region VIII
9. Southern Ute Indian Tribe

34.28 STATEMENT OF BASIS, SPECIFIC STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND PURPOSE; NOVEMBER, 1998 RULEMAKING

The provisions of C.R.S. 25-8-202(1)(a), (b) and (2); 25-8-203; 25-8-204; and 25-8-402; provide the specific statutory authority for adoption of these regulatory amendments. The Commission also adopted in compliance with 24-4-103(4) C.R.S. the following statement of basis and purpose.

BASIS AND PURPOSE

The Commission has recently approved a new schedule for triennial reviews of water quality classifications and standards for all river basins in Colorado. In this hearing the Commission has extended the expiration dates of temporary modifications [and, for the Animas Basin, the effective dates of underlying standards] without substantive review, so that the next substantive review of the temporary modifications can occur as part of the overall triennial review of water quality standards for the particular watershed. This will avoid the need for multiple individual hearings that would take staff resources away from implementation of the new triennial review schedule.